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Summary

Background Parenteral antibiotic therapy for young infants (aged 0–59 days) with suspected sepsis is sometimes not available or feasible in countries with high neonatal mortality. Outpatient treatment could save lives in such settings. We aimed to assess the equivalence of two simplified antibiotic regimens, comprising fewer injections and oral rather than parenteral administration, compared with a reference treatment for young infants with clinical severe infection.

Methods We undertook the Simplified Antibiotic Therapy Trial (SATT), a three-arm, randomised, open-label, equivalence trial in five communities in Karachi, Pakistan. We enrolled young infants (aged 0–59 days) who either presented at a primary health-care clinic or were identified by a community health worker with signs of clinical severe infection. We included infants who were not critically ill and whose family refused admission. We randomly assigned infants to either intramuscular procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin once a day for 7 days (reference); oral amoxicillin twice daily and intramuscular gentamicin once a day for 7 days; or intramuscular procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin once a day for 2 days followed by oral amoxicillin twice daily for 5 days. The primary outcome was treatment failure within 7 days of enrolment and the primary analysis was per protocol. We judged experimental treatments as efficacious as the reference if the upper bound of the 95% CI for the difference in treatment failure was less than 5.0. This trial is registered at ClinicalTrials.gov, number NCT01027429.

Findings Between Jan 1, 2010, and Dec 26, 2013, 2780 infants were deemed eligible for the trial, of whom 2453 (88%) were enrolled. Because of inadequate clinical follow-up or treatment adherence, 2251 infants were included in the per-protocol analysis. 820 infants (747 per protocol) were assigned the reference treatment of procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin, 816 (751 per protocol) were allocated amoxicillin and gentamicin, and 817 (753 per protocol) were assigned procaine benzylpenicillin, gentamicin, and amoxicillin. Treatment failure within 7 days of enrolment was reported in 90 (12%) infants who received procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin (reference), 76 (10%) of those given amoxicillin and gentamicin (risk difference with reference −1.9, 95% CI −5.1 to 1.3), and 99 (13%) of those treated with procaine benzylpenicillin, gentamicin, and amoxicillin (risk difference with reference 1.1, −2.3 to 4.5).

Interpretation Two simplified antibiotic regimens requiring fewer injections are equivalent to a reference treatment for young infants with signs of clinical severe infection but without signs of critical illness. The use of these simplified regimens has the potential to increase access to treatment for sick young infants who cannot be referred to hospital.
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Evidence before the study
We searched PubMed between January, 1990, and October, 2015, with the terms “young infant”, “clinical severe infection”, and “simplified antibiotic regimens” to identify peer-reviewed publications in the English language about simplified antibiotic regimens for severe infections in neonates and young infants in the primary-care setting. We identified five reports (three protocol papers), of which two reported findings of similar trials undertaken in Africa (Kenya, Nigeria, and Democratic Republic of Congo) and Bangladesh, comparing the WHO-recommended regimen of parenteral penicillin and gentamicin with simpler antibiotic regimens.

Added value of this study
Compared with previous studies in Africa and Bangladesh, our trial from Pakistan had a higher representation of very young infants (those in the first week of life) and was enriched by the availability of bacterial aetiological data and antimicrobial susceptibility data. A pooled analysis can now be done of data from all three related trials, to support policy recommendations for this very young group of patients.

Implications of all the available evidence
The findings of our trial are consistent with those published previously, showing that simplified antibiotic regimens are as efficacious as the WHO-recommended regimen of parenteral penicillin and gentamicin for young infants with severe infection. Our study has contributed to development of new WHO guidelines for treatment of severe infection in young infants where referral is not feasible.

Methods
Study design and participants
SATT Pakistan is a randomised open-label trial designed to assess equivalence of three outpatient-based antibiotic regimens. We undertook the trial in five low-income settlements in coastal Karachi, Pakistan (Rehri Goth, Ibrahim Hyderi, Ali Akbar Shah Goth, Bhains colony, and Bilal colony), which are roughly 1 h drive from the main campus of the Aga Khan University in Karachi. These settlements are served by five primary healthcare clinics, have an annual birth cohort of 8000, and have ongoing household surveillance of young infants aged 0–59 days (appendix p 1).

Infants from the catchment area were either referred to a study clinic by community health workers during routine household surveillance or presented with their family at one of the five primary health-care clinics, at which study clinicians screened them for eligibility. Inclusion criteria were age 0–59 days, living in the catchment area, refusal by family to be admitted to hospital, and one or more signs of critical illness (panel). Infants were excluded from the study if their family agreed to admission, weight at presentation was less than 1500 g, major congenital malformations or suspected chromosomal abnormalities were present, surgical conditions needed hospital referral, they had been admitted for illness in the past 2 weeks, they had been included previously in the study, or they had one or more signs of critical illness (panel). If the infant had signs of clinical severe infection, the study clinician first recommended hospital referral.
If the family refused admission and the infant had no signs of critical illness, the study clinician offered trial enrolment with facility-based and home-based treatment. If the infant had signs of critical illness, parents were counselled again on the importance of hospital referral. If parents still refused admission, they were offered the reference treatment (ie, procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin) and were not enrolled or randomly allocated. Study methods have been described in detail.8

We obtained written informed consent for infants to participate in the trial from parents or guardians. Consent included documentation of the refusal for hospital referral and acceptance of enrolment. A third party (community member) witnessed the consent procedure, and this individual also signed the consent form. We read the consent form to illiterate participants and took a thumbprint in lieu of a signature, which a third party witness countersigned. The study was approved by the ethics review committee of the Aga Khan University, ethics review committee of WHO, and the ethics committee at the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine.

Randomisation and masking
After obtaining consent, we randomly allocated infants to one of three antibiotic regimens: 7 days of procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin, administered intramuscularly (reference treatment); intramuscular gentamicin once a day and oral amoxicillin twice daily for 7 days; or procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin administered intramuscularly once a day for 2 days followed by oral amoxicillin twice daily for 5 days. We used a site-specific and age-specific (<7 days and 7–59 days) randomisation sequence list generated by the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine.8 The allocation sequence for every site and age group was placed in serially numbered, sealed, opaque envelopes by the Data Management Unit at Aga Khan University and delivered to every site. Study clinicians selected the next envelope and the treatment corresponding to the allocation code printed within was administered the evening dose. If the baby vomited within 30 min, the oral drug was re-administered. We followed up every participant daily at the public health-care clinics, from enrolment to day 8, then on day 11 and day 14 for vital signs (respiratory rate, temperature, and heart rate), danger signs of critical illness (panel), improvement or deterioration in clinical status (defined as resolution or presence of one danger sign of critical illness),4 and adverse events (ie, relapse, death, or treatment failure). We referred young infants who failed treatment to hospital. If families still refused admission, we treated the infant with intramuscular ceftriaxone once a day for 1 week.

We collected blood samples at enrolment using appropriate aseptic precautions. We captured blood sample collection procedures on video for about 10% of participants as a quality assurance measure. We injected about 2–3 mL of blood (median 2·1 mL [IQR 1·4–2·8]) into a bottle (BACTEC Peds Plus; Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) and transported the sample to the Infectious Disease Research Laboratory at Aga Khan University within 3 h of collection; we incubated the sample in a continuous monitoring system (BACTEC 9240; Becton Dickinson) for 5 days. If bottles were flagged positive by the automated system, we Gram-stained and subcultured blood culture broth on appropriate media—eg, 5% sheep blood agar and chocolate agars incubated in 5% CO2, at 37°C with or without 5% CO2, were subcultured on blood agar and chocolate agars and subcultured on blood agar and chocolate agars in the automated system, we Gram-stained and subcultured blood culture broth on appropriate media—eg, 5% sheep blood agar and chocolate agars incubated in 5% CO2, at

Panel: Case definitions

Clinical severe infection
Signs of clinical severe infection were defined as:
• Movement only when stimulated
• Not feeding well on observation
• Temperature ≥38°C or <35·5°C
• Severe chest indrawing (inward chest movement with every breath in 1 min)

Critical illness
Signs of critical illness were defined as:
• Unconsciousness
• Convulsions
• Inability to feed
• Apnoea
• Inability to cry
• Cyanosis
• Bulging fontanelle
• Major congenital malformations inhibiting oral antibiotic intake
• Active bleeding needing transfusion
• Surgical conditions needing hospital referral
• Persistent vomiting (ie, vomiting after three attempts to feed the infant within 30 min, with the infant vomiting after each attempt)
Articles

35°C; Macokey agar incubated in air at 35°C; or 5% sheep blood agar incubated anaerobically at 35°C. We did all identification and susceptibility tests in accordance with American Society for Microbiology procedures and Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines, when applicable. For a few blood cultures that were smear-positive for campylobacter-like organisms but that did not yield bacterial growth on conventional culture, we also did PCR of the 23S RNA-conserved region of campylobacter, helicobacter, and arcobacter complex. Briefly, we extracted DNA (MagNA Pure extraction kit; Roche Diagnostics, Burgess Hill, UK) then did conventional PCR (Eppendorf MasterCycler Gradient; Marshall Scientific, Hampton, NH, USA) and gel electrophoresis.

We categorised blood culture results as no growth, contamination, or bacteraemia (known or probable pathogen). We classed blood cultures with growth of common skin flora as contamination. We used bacteraemia to describe blood cultures positive for known pathogens, whether isolated singly or mixed with other pathogens or contaminants, or pathogens less commonly associated with neonatal sepsis, whether isolated singly or mixed with other pathogens or contaminants (appendix p 3).

We checked for adverse events at every follow-up visit. Community health workers reported adverse events to study doctors at every study clinic, who graded them as serious or non-serious. Serious adverse events were those possibly related to study drugs, including: severe diarrhoea with dehydration requiring facility management; Stevens-Johnson syndrome; anaphylaxis; and acute renal failure. A study supervisor (trained paediatrician) verified the grading. Quarterly adverse event reports were reviewed by the study’s data safety and monitoring board and technical scientific committee.

Outcomes

The primary outcome of our trial was treatment failure within 7 days of enrolment, which we defined as either: death; admission; clinical deterioration; change in antibiotic regimen because of infectious comorbidity (to intramuscular ceftriaxone); serious adverse event; occurrence of a new sign of clinical severe infection (panel) on or after day 3; or recurrence of initial signs of sepsis on or after day 5. Among young infants who had treatment failure, secondary outcomes were: death within 7 days of enrolment; death at any time before the day 14–15 assessment; and admission for any reason at any time within 7 days of enrolment. Among children who did not have treatment failure, secondary outcomes were: admission at any time between the day 8 and day 14–15 visits; death at any time between the day 8 and day 14–15 visits; and non-fatal relapse at any time between the day 8 and day 14–15 visits (defined as admission, development of any sign of critical illness, or development of any sign of suspected sepsis).

Statistical analysis

We postulated (from our previous experience) that the simplified antibiotic regimens would have equivalent efficacy to the reference treatment and that the risk of treatment failure would be 10% in all groups. For every comparison with the reference treatment, we planned to estimate the difference in the risk of failure between the two treatment groups and to use a two-sided 95% CI to assess the equivalence of the two simplified antibiotic regimens. We judged simplified antibiotic regimens as efficacious as the reference treatment if the upper bound of the 95% CI for the difference in treatment failure was less than 5. Based on this criterion, we estimated that a sample size of 750 assessable children per treatment group would provide at least 90% power to show that the simplified antibiotic regimens were efficacious as the reference treatment.

Since the aim of our trial was to show the equivalence of different antibiotic regimens, rather than superiority of one regimen over another, we analysed the primary outcome per protocol rather than by intention to treat, which would tend to reduce any differences between treatment regimens. We defined per-protocol infants as those who had completed clinical follow-up fully (eight visits on 8 days) or partly (three visits days 2–4, at least one visit days 5–8, and known vital status at day 8) and who had adhered to treatment fully or partly (appendix p 6). We defined infants who were fully adherent to treatment as those who received all doses of scheduled antibiotics for 7 days (or by the time of treatment failure, if failure occurred) and who had not received any other antibiotic from a study or non-study clinician. We defined infants who were partly adherent to treatment as those who had received all scheduled antibiotics on the first 3 days of treatment (or by the time of treatment failure) and at least 50% of all scheduled doses of each antibiotic on days 4–7 (or by the time of treatment failure), and who did not receive any non-study injectable antibiotic before the day 8 visit (unless given because of treatment failure) or any non-study oral antibiotic on days 1–3.

We did statistical analyses with Stata version 13. This study is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov, number NCT01027429.

Role of the funding source

This trial was funded by the Saving Newborn Lives initiative of Save the Children, with support from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, and by WHO and USAID. The funders had a role in study design but played no part in data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and was responsible for the decision to submit for publication.

Results

Between Jan 1, 2010, and Dec 26, 2013, 41 230 young infants were screened for trial eligibility and 2780 (7%)
were eligible for enrolment (figure). Of these, 63 (2%) families agreed to admission and 264 (9%) refused participation. Thus, 2453 (88%) of 2780 young infants were randomly allocated one of the three study treatments: 820 were assigned procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin, 816 were allocated amoxicillin and gentamicin, and 817 were assigned procaine benzylpenicillin, gentamicin, and amoxicillin. Table 1 shows baseline characteristics of all young infants who were randomly allocated. Median age at presentation was 11 days (IQR 2–36). 1083 (44%) infants were early neonates (aged 0–6 days), 1309 (53%) were boys, and 940 (38%) had a low weight-for-age (Z score <-2). 2141 (87%) infants presented with one sign at enrolment. Fever was the most common presenting sign (1015/2453 [41%]) and was the only presenting sign for more than a third of infants (905/2453 [37%]; table 1). The next most frequent sign was severe chest indrawing (818/2453 [33%]), with around a third having this sign in isolation (717/2453 [29%]). 358 (15%) of 2453 infants had local infection, of whom 283 (79%) had an umbilical infection and 86 (24%) had a skin infection. 1223 (50%) of 2453 infants were born in a health facility.

2251 (92%) of 2453 infants who were randomly allocated met per-protocol criteria for clinical follow-up and treatment adherence (figure). 747 received procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin, 751 were treated with amoxicillin and gentamicin, and 753 were given procaine benzylpenicillin, gentamicin, and amoxicillin. Per-protocol infants had similar characteristics at baseline to the intention-to-treat population (data not shown). Table 2 presents primary and secondary outcome data in the per-protocol population. Treatment failure was recorded within 7 days of enrolment in 90 (12%) of 747 infants who received procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin (reference), 76 (10%) of 751 who were given amoxicillin and gentamicin (risk difference with reference, –1·9, 95% CI –5·1 to 1·3), and 99 (13%) of 753 treated with procaine benzylpenicillin, gentamicin, and amoxicillin (risk difference with reference, 1·1, 95% CI 0·5 to 1·5). Ten (1%) of 700 infants in the per-protocol analysis died who were given procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin, and seven (1%) of 751 died who were treated with amoxicillin and gentamicin (risk difference with reference –0·5, 95% CI –1·6 to 0·6), and ten (1%) of 753 died who were given procaine benzylpenicillin, gentamicin, and amoxicillin (risk difference –0·1, 1·3 to 0·1). No deaths were attributable to study procedures. A further six children died between day 8 and day 15: two who were treated with procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin (both neonatal sepsis); two who received amoxicillin and gentamicin (diarrhoea, and neonatal sepsis); and two who were given procaine benzylpenicillin, gentamicin, and amoxicillin (neonatal tetanus, and neonatal sepsis). None of these six deaths was judged attributable to study procedures by the principal investigator or the data safety and monitoring board. Among all randomly allocated infants, 35 (1%) of 2453 children had died within 7 days of enrolment (appendix p 4), 12 (1%) of 820 children assigned procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin (reference), seven (1%) of 751 died who were treated with amoxicillin and gentamicin (risk difference with reference –0·5, 95% CI –1·6 to 0·6), and ten (1%) of 753 died who were given procaine benzylpenicillin, gentamicin, and amoxicillin (risk difference –0·1, 1·3 to 0·1). No deaths were attributable to study procedures. A further six children died between day 8 and day 15: two who were treated with procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin (both neonatal sepsis); two who received amoxicillin and gentamicin (diarrhoea, and neonatal sepsis); and two who were given procaine benzylpenicillin, gentamicin, and amoxicillin (neonatal tetanus, and neonatal sepsis). None of these six deaths was judged attributable to study procedures by the principal investigator or the data safety and monitoring board. Among all randomly allocated infants, 35 (1%) of 2453 children had died within 7 days of enrolment (appendix p 4), 12 (1%) of 820 children assigned procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin (reference), seven (1%) of 751 died who were treated with amoxicillin and gentamicin (risk difference with reference –0·5, 95% CI –1·6 to 0·6), and ten (1%) of 753 died who were given procaine benzylpenicillin, gentamicin, and amoxicillin (risk difference –0·1, 1·3 to 0·1). No deaths were attributable to study procedures. A further six children died between day 8 and day 15: two who were treated with procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin (both neonatal sepsis); two who received amoxicillin and gentamicin (diarrhoea, and neonatal sepsis); and two who were given procaine benzylpenicillin, gentamicin, and amoxicillin (neonatal tetanus, and neonatal sepsis). None of these six deaths was judged attributable to study procedures by the principal investigator or the data safety and monitoring board. Among all randomly allocated infants, 35 (1%) of 2453 children had died within 7 days of enrolment (appendix p 4), 12 (1%) of 820 children assigned procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin (reference), seven (1%) of 751 died who were treated with amoxicillin and gentamicin (risk difference with reference –0·5, 95% CI –1·6 to 0·6), and ten (1%) of 753 died who were given procaine benzylpenicillin, gentamicin, and amoxicillin (risk difference –0·1, 1·3 to 0·1). No deaths were attributable to study procedures.
Table 1: Baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at enrolment (days)</th>
<th>Procaic benzylpenicillin and gentamicin (n=820)</th>
<th>Amoxicillin and gentamicin (n=816)</th>
<th>Procaic benzylpenicillin, gentamicin, and amoxicillin (n=817)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-6</td>
<td>351 (44%)</td>
<td>360 (44%)</td>
<td>362 (44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-59</td>
<td>459 (56%)</td>
<td>456 (56%)</td>
<td>455 (56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>465 (57%)</td>
<td>419 (51%)</td>
<td>425 (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>355 (43%)</td>
<td>397 (49%)</td>
<td>392 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight at enrolment (g)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2000</td>
<td>62 (8%)</td>
<td>67 (8%)</td>
<td>81 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2499</td>
<td>145 (18%)</td>
<td>144 (18%)</td>
<td>128 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥28</td>
<td>613 (75%)</td>
<td>605 (74%)</td>
<td>608 (74%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight-for-age (Z score)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2499</td>
<td>393 (37%)</td>
<td>322 (39%)</td>
<td>315 (39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥28</td>
<td>517 (62%)</td>
<td>494 (61%)</td>
<td>502 (61%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of signs present</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>720 (88%)</td>
<td>717 (88%)</td>
<td>704 (86%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one</td>
<td>100 (12%)</td>
<td>99 (12%)</td>
<td>113 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>330 (40%)</td>
<td>337 (41%)</td>
<td>348 (43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In isolation</td>
<td>296 (36%)</td>
<td>303 (37%)</td>
<td>306 (37%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothermia</td>
<td>71 (9%)</td>
<td>71 (9%)</td>
<td>91 (11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In isolation</td>
<td>43 (5%)</td>
<td>46 (6%)</td>
<td>55 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement only when stimulated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In isolation</td>
<td>10 (1%)</td>
<td>5 (1%)</td>
<td>4 (&lt;1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe chest indrawing</td>
<td>277 (34%)</td>
<td>272 (33%)</td>
<td>269 (33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In isolation</td>
<td>239 (29%)</td>
<td>238 (29%)</td>
<td>240 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor feeding or suck</td>
<td>210 (26%)</td>
<td>205 (25%)</td>
<td>191 (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In isolation</td>
<td>132 (16%)</td>
<td>125 (15%)</td>
<td>99 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local infection</td>
<td>122 (15%)</td>
<td>110 (13%)</td>
<td>126 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility delivery*</td>
<td>412 (50%)</td>
<td>388 (48%)</td>
<td>423 (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age (years)*</td>
<td>25.9 (5.5)</td>
<td>25.8 (5.7)</td>
<td>25.9 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal education (years)*</td>
<td>0 (0-4)</td>
<td>0 (0-5)</td>
<td>0 (0-5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are number of children (%), mean (SD), or median (IQR). Signs in isolation were the only clinical sign present at enrolment. *Data missing for place of delivery (n=1), maternal age (n=297), and maternal education (n=194).

**Table 1: Baseline characteristics**

benzylpenicillin and gentamicin (reference), ten (1%) of 816 allocated amoxicillin and gentamicin (risk difference with reference, –0.2, 95% CI –1.4 to 0.9), and 13 (2%) of 817 assigned procaine benzylpenicillin, gentamicin, and amoxicillin (risk difference with reference, 0.1, 95% CI –1.1 to 1.3). By day 15, a further three infants had died who were allocated procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin, two children assigned amoxicillin and gentamicin had died, and three children died who were allocated procaine benzylpenicillin, gentamicin, and amoxicillin.

Three non-fatal serious adverse events were reported among the 2453 randomly allocated infants, one in a child assigned procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin (diarrhoea with severe dehydration) and two in children allocated amoxicillin and gentamicin (diarrhoea with severe dehydration, and generalised rash). Two of these three events contributed to the initial reason for treatment failure at day 2. All three infants had recovered by the day 15 visit and were included in the per-protocol analysis. Among the 2251 children in the per-protocol analysis, 193 (9%) non-serious adverse events occurred, 79 (11%) in 747 infants treated with procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin, 55 (7%) in 751 who received amoxicillin and gentamicin, and 59 (8%) in 753 who were given procaine benzylpenicillin, gentamicin, and amoxicillin. The most frequent events were injection-site swelling (n=88) and mild diarrhoea (n=81).

Blood cultures were obtained from 2067 (84%) of 2453 randomly allocated infants, of which 1713 (83%) were negative after 5 days of incubation and 273 (13%) were contaminated. 81 (4%) cultures were positive for various pathogens (appendix p 5); 79 grew a single organism whereas two were polymicrobial. Campylobacteraceae were the commonest group of pathogens cultured (n=18), followed by pseudomonads (n=13), enteric Gram-negative bacteria (n=12), and Streptococcus pyogenes (n=8). Of 23 Gram-positive organisms, 19 (83%) were susceptible to penicillin. Of the Gram-negative bacteria, gentamicin susceptibility results were available for 14 organisms, and all but one Klebsiella pneumoniae isolate were gentamicin susceptible. Ampicillin-susceptibility test results were available for 18 Gram-negative isolates, and nine (50%) were ampicillin susceptible. Overall, 32 (86%) of 37 microbes available for antimicrobial susceptibility were sensitive to a regimen including penicillin or amoxicillin and gentamicin. Ten (13%) of 75 children with bacteraemia and 227 (12%) of 1618 without bacteraemia were sensitive to a regimen including penicillin or amoxicillin and gentamicin. Ten (13%) of 75 children with bacteraemia had treatment failure; thus, bacteraemia did not predict treatment failure in per-protocol infants (risk difference 1.03, 95% CI –6.8 to 8.9).

**Discussion**

Our results show that, in Pakistan, simplified antibiotic regimens are as efficacious as the reference treatment for young infants with clinical severe infection whose families refuse referral to hospital. This finding is consistent with those of two similar trials from Africa, and Bangladesh. Results from these trials have contributed to development of new WHO guidelines for management of young infants with possible serious bacterial infection where referral is not feasible.

A strength of our Pakistan study is that we included a much higher representation of infants aged 0–6 days with clinical severe infection (44% of all enrolled children) compared with the other randomised equivalence trials of the simplified antibiotic regimens from Bangladesh and Africa (Kenya, Nigeria, and Democratic Republic of Congo). Additional strengths of our study are the availability of bacterial aetiological data and antimicrobial susceptibility data. Enrolment of few infants younger than 7 days with clinical severe infection was judged a limitation of the Bangladesh trial, in terms of drawing conclusions for this important subgroup at high risk of vertically acquired infections and mortality.
A pooled analysis of data from our trial, the Bangladesh trial, and the AFRINEST trial in Kenya, Nigeria, and Democratic Republic of Congo is underway and will have an adequate number of infants aged 0–6 days to support policy recommendations for this age group. These findings hold great promise in increasing access to treatment for sick young infants and improving their clinical outcomes.

Data for common bacterial pathogens among young infants at the community level are scarce. We gathered samples for blood culture at the first-level facility, from young infants recruited from the community. The proportion of infants with clinical sepsis and who grew a pathogen from the blood sample was low (4%) but comparable with yields reported elsewhere. Bio markers need to be developed to improve our ability to distinguish between infants with and without bacterial infection in first-level settings. Bacteraemia did not predict treatment failure in per-protocol infants in our study; however, in view of the fairly small number of culture-positive cases, we cannot exclude a modest overall increase in risk of treatment failure.

Positive blood culture results showed diversity in causative agents of clinical severe infection in young infants. Campylobacteraceae were the commonest pathogens causing bacteraemia and bacteraemic treatment failure. Campylobacter jejuni and Campylobacter coli are common causes of diarrhoea in infants in developing countries, including the area from which these infants were recruited; however, none of the young infants in this study who grew campylobacteraceae from their blood had a history of diarrhoea. A related species, Campylobacter fetus, has a well known association with adverse birth outcomes in cattle. It is possible that the fastidious nature and special growth requirements of campylobacteraceae have resulted in scant recognition of its role as a pathogen of newborn bloodstream infections.

One concern was not borne out—namely, that high rates of antimicrobial resistance among newborn pathogens reported from hospital-based series from low-income settings would be a problem for management of clinical severe infection in young infants in the community. Susceptibility testing showed that a regimen based on penicillin or amoxicillin plus gentamicin would cover more than 80% of pathogens encountered.

The mix in severity of clinical severe infections in our study was affected by the proportion of infants identified at a very early stage by household surveillance. However, we made this trade-off to ensure adequate enrolment of infants aged 0–6 days who otherwise do not present to...
facilities. Another consideration is whether the milder spectrum of illness, potentially including many children without a bacterial infection, could have biased the results of our trial towards equivalence. Although some enrolled children will undoubtedly have not had a bacterial infection, in a pilot study using the same inclusion criteria as this study, a significantly higher rate of treatment failure was reported among children treated with co-trimoxazole and gentamicin compared with those treated with procaine benzylpenicillin and gentamicin.

Our study shares some limitations with the trials in Africa and Bangladesh.\(^1\) First, we did not mask clinicians or participants for ethical reasons;\(^2\) placebo injections were not judged justifiable in sick young infants. Second, families of enrolled infants most probably refused admission because their child was not perceived to be very sick.\(^3\) Critical illness was a chosen exclusion criterion in the design phase because we believed treatment of very sick young infants with simplified antibiotic regimens would have been unethical. Nevertheless, 35 (1%) of 2453 trial participants died despite antimicrobial treatment and 265 (12%) of 2251 per-protocol infants did not respond to treatment, indicating a substantial level of severe illness among enrolled children. Third, concern has been raised about observer bias in assessing the soft study endpoints.\(^4\)

Quality of clinical assessment was ensured through repeat training and supervision, and all treatment failures were confirmed independently by another study clinician, as described elsewhere.\(^5\) Fourth, most infants were diagnosed clinically without availability of laboratory tests to indicate the presence or absence of infection. Since the main aim of this study was to find pragmatic solutions to scant access to antibiotic treatment for most young infants with newborn infections in low-resource settings, in environments where laboratory testing will not be available in the near future, we chose to use clinical definitions. Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that because of the absence of reliable laboratory tests to diagnose newborn infections, and the high risk of adverse outcomes, it is common practice to diagnose neonatal sepsis clinically and treat empirically with antibiotics pending laboratory results, even in high-resource hospital settings. Finally, although low case-fatalitly was recorded with the study treatments under stringent trial conditions and close monitoring, application of these findings in programmatic settings might not yield such impressive results when adherence with treatment might be lower. The programmatic effect of implementation of these guidelines must be assessed carefully.

Our data show that simplified antibiotic regimens with fewer injections administered closer to home by a trained health provider were as efficacious as a reference strategy comprising more penicillin-gentamicin injections for treatment of young infants with clinical severe infection whose families declined admission. Overall, this evidence supports easier to administer regimens and can inform national and international policy on the treatment of sick young infants in situations when hospital admission is not accepted.


19 CLSI. M100-S20: performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, twentieth informational supplement. Wayne: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2010.


