

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Alem AZ, Yeshaw Y, Liyew AM, Tesema GA, Alamneh TS, Worku MG, et al. (2022) Timely initiation of antenatal care and its associated factors among pregnant women in sub-Saharan Africa: A multicountry analysis of Demographic and Health Surveys. PLoS ONE 17(1): e0262411. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262411

Editor: Isabelle Chemin, Centre de Recherche en Cancerologie de Lyon, FRANCE

Received: November 22, 2020

Accepted: December 23, 2021

Published: January 10, 2022

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262411

Copyright: © 2022 Alem et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The datasets we used for this study was existing public domain survey

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Timely initiation of antenatal care and its associated factors among pregnant women in sub-Saharan Africa: A multicountry analysis of Demographic and Health Surveys

Adugnaw Zeleke Alem¹*, Yigizie Yeshaw¹, Alemneh Mekuriaw Liyew¹, Getayeneh Antehunegn Tesema¹, Tesfa Sewunet Alamneh¹, Misganaw Gabrie Worku³, Achamyeleh Birhanu Teshale¹, Zemenu Tadesse Tessema¹

1 Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Institute of Public Health, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia, 2 Department of Physiology, School of Medicine, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia, 3 Department of Human Anatomy, College of Medicine and Health Science, School of Medicine, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia

* aduzeleke2201@gmail.com

Abstract

Background

Timely initiation of antenatal care (ANC) is an important component of ANC services that improve the health of the mother and the newborn. Mothers who begin attending ANC in a timely manner, can fully benefit from preventive and curative services. However, evidence in sub-Saharan Africa (sSA) indicated that the majority of pregnant mothers did not start their first visit timely. As our search concerned, there is no study that incorporates a large number of sub-Saharan Africa countries. Thus, the objective of this study was to assess the prevalence of timely initiation of ANC and its associated factors in 36 sSA countries.

Methods

The Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) of 36 sSA countries were used for the analysis. The total weighted sample of 233,349 women aged 15–49 years who gave birth in the five years preceding the survey and who had ANC visit for their last child were included. A multi-level logistic regression model was used to examine the individual and community-level factors that influence the timely initiation of ANC. Results were presented using adjusted odds ratio (AOR) with 95% confidence interval (CI).

Results

In this study, overall timely initiation of ANC visit was 38.0% (95% CI: 37.8–38.2), ranging from 14.5% in Mozambique to 68.6% in Liberia. In the final multilevel logistic regression model:- women with secondary education (AOR = 1.08; 95% CI: 1.06, 1.11), higher education (AOR = 1.43; 95% CI: 1.36, 1.51), women aged 25–34 years (AOR = 1.20; 95% CI: 1.17, 1.23), \geq 35 years (AOR = 1.30; 95% CI: 1.26, 1.35), women from richest household (AOR = 1.19; 95% CI: 1.14, 1.22), women perceiving distance from the health facility as not

data sets which accessed from <u>http://www.</u> dhsprogram.com.

Funding: The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Abbreviations: ANC, Antenatal Care; AOR, Adjusted Odds Ratio; CI, Confidence Interval; DHS, Demographic and Health Survey; ICC, Intra-class Correlation Coefficient; MMR, Maternal Mortality Rate; MOR, Median Odds Ratio; PCV, Proportional Change in Variance; sSA, sub-Saharan Africa. a big problem (AOR = 1.05; 95%CI: 1.03, 1.07), women exposed to media (AOR = 1.29; 95%CI: 1.26, 1.32), women living in communities with medium percentage of literacy (AOR = 1.51; 95%CI: 1.40, 1.63), and women living in communities with high percentage of literacy (AOR = 1.56; 95%CI: 1.38, 1.76) were more likely to initiate ANC timely. However, women who wanted their pregnancy later (AOR = 0.84; 95%CI: 0.82, 0.86), wanted no more pregnancy (AOR = 0.80; 95%CI: 0.77, 0.83), and women residing in the rural area (AOR = 0.90; 95%CI: 0.87, 0.92) were less likely to initiate ANC timely.

Conclusion

Even though the WHO recommends all women initiate ANC within 12 weeks of gestation, sSA recorded a low overall prevalence of timely initiation of ANC. Maternal education, pregnancy intention, residence, age, wealth status, media exposure, distance from health facility, and community-level literacy were significantly associated with timely initiation of ANC. Therefore, intervention efforts should focus on the identified factors in order to improve timely initiation of ANC in sSA. This can be done through the providing information and education to the community on the timing and importance of attending antenatal care and family planning to prevent unwanted pregnancy, especially in rural settings.

Background

Even though stillbirth rate (MMR) is reduced by 58.3% globally from 2000 to 2015, 98% of all stillbirths occur in low and middle income (LMICs) countries. Of these, 77% occurs in sub Saharan Africa (sSA) and south Asia [1]. Moreover, between 1990 and 2017, the global neonatal mortality rate (NMR) decreased from 36.6 deaths to 18.0 per 1000 live births. However, it is difficult to achieve the Sustainable Development Goal (SDGs) NMR target of 12 deaths per 1000 live births or fewer by 2030 in most countries with current progress [2]. One of the targets of the SDGs fixed by the United Nations is to end preventable maternal, under-five, and newborns deaths by the year 2030. These modifiable risk factors could be avoided through expanding access to the mothers given during pregnancy like antenatal care (ANC) services [3]. It reduces the risk of neonatal death by 39% in sSA [4]. Therefore, improving the utilization of maternal health services is fundamental for SDG goal 3 achievements [5].

Many maternal and neonatal deaths can be prevented by expanding access to the care given to the mother during pregnancy, during delivery, and after delivery [3, 6–8]. ANC is special care given to pregnant women to timely identify and alleviate pregnancy-related complications that can harm the mother and fetus. It is one of the essential strategies for reducing maternal and child death directly or indirectly [6, 9–11]. Prevention and treatment of any complications/illness; emergency preparedness; birth planning; and health promotion like satisfying any unmet nutritional, social, emotional, and physical needs of pregnant women, provision of patient education are the main objectives of ANC [12–14]. Even though the percentage of women attending ANC visit is increased even in low-income countries, in most sSA countries maternal and neonatal mortality remain high [15–17]. This weak association between ANC utilization and maternal and newborn survival has motivated a recent call to focus on quality of ANC services rather than mere ANC attendance to ensure well being of fetus, mothers and newborn [12]. Therefore, to make the ANC visits an effective preventive measure, ANC visit should be initiated early and components of ANC should be provided.

In light of the above, 2016 WHO recommendations on ANC for a positive pregnancy experience modified the minimum number of ANC contacts from four to eight contacts, with the first contact should be done within the first 12 weeks of gestation [12, 18]. This new ANC model aims at increasing contacts from four to eight, highlights the critical need to further target women who initiate ANC late, to achieve the recommended eight contacts [12].

Timely initiation of ANC is one of the basic components of ANC services; that helps to early identification of pre-existing health conditions like HIV and other sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), malaria, and anemia and early detection of complications arising during pregnancy [14, 19–21]. Early screening and treatment of HIV and syphilis help to prevent maternal to fetus transmission. Untreated mothers have a 70-100% chance of transmitting the infection to their fetus, one-third pregnancies results in stillbirth and of the infants of mothers with untreated syphilis, 15% of had clinical evidence of congenital syphilis [22, 23]. Moreover, timely initiation of ANC is a good opportunity to discuss with pregnant mothers on birth preparedness and complication readiness plan and helps the mother to receive health promotion and disease prevention services such as immunization against tetanus, nutrition counseling, micro-nutrient supplements, prophylactic treatment of malaria and worms [9, 14, 21, 24]. The provision of micro-nutrient supplements especially iron and folic acid during early pregnancy is among the strongly recommended interventions in order to prevent anemia and congenital malformations [12]. Anemia is the lead cause of preterm births, and low birth weight, and maternal mortality [25, 26]. Existing evidence shows that the early initiation of ANC helps to ensure the well-being of the mother and fetus as well as their child [9, 24, 27]. Therefore, to fully benefit from ANC, it is important that women should start ANC timely.

Even though timely initiation of ANC is a key intervention for the reduction of maternal and child mortality, many pregnant women start ANC attendance late, particularly in sSA. Globally, the coverage of timely ANC initiation is around 43%, with a high discrepancy between developed and developing regions [28]. In developed regions, 85% of mothers start their ANC follow up in the first trimester compared to below 45% and less than 25% in the developing countries and sub-Sahara region respectively [29]. The timely initiation of ANC in sSA is low, as different Demographic Health Survey (DHS) reports the coverage of early timing of ANC visit ranges from 17.6 to 34% [30–33].

Previous studies that have investigated timely initiation and factors of ANC in sSA were mainly country-specific, with the focus on Ethiopia [6, 7, 11, 19, 24, 34–42], Nigeria [9, 43, 44], Uganda [14, 45], Tanzania [21, 46–49], Zambia [50–52], and Liberia [53, 54]. Our extensive search indicated that little evidence exists on the status of timely ANC initiation on the sSA scale. Besides, this study not only uses a rich source of data from sSA but also builds renewed evidence on the factors of timely initiation of ANC within the context of the agenda for SDG targets 3 to generate evidence-based decision making to improve timely initiation of ANC and maternal and child well being.

Methods

Study setting and design

The study used 36 sSA countries' Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) data which were obtained using a cross-sectional study design. The survey we used were conducted between 2006–07 and 2018 in sSA countries.

Data source and sampling procedure

The data for this study were drawn from recent nationally representative DHS data conducted in 36 countries in sSA. The DHS surveys are routinely collected every five-year period across

low- and middle-income countries using structured methodologies and pretested validated quantitative tools. It follows the same standard procedure sampling, questionnaires, data collection, and coding which makes multi-country analysis possible.

In order to ensure national representativeness, the DHS survey employs a stratified two-stage sampling technique. In the first stage, clusters/enumeration areas (EAs) that cover the entire country were randomly selected from the sampling frame (i.e. are usually developed from the available latest national census). The second stage is the systematic sampling of households listed in each cluster or EA and interviews are conducted in selected households with target populations (women aged 15–49 and men aged 15–64). In this study, women aged 15–49 years who gave birth in the five years preceding the survey and who had ANC visit for their last child were included. The total sample size from the pooled data analyzed in this study was 233,349 and the sample size ranged from 1,316 in Sao Tome and Principe to 16,543 in Nigeria (Table 1).

Variables of study

The outcome variable for this study was timely initiation of first ANC visit which was recorded as: within 12 weeks of gestation "timely" and after 12 weeks of gestation "delayed" [55].

Independent variables were extracted based on literature and the likelihood to influence the outcome of interest from the available DHS [6, 7, 9–11, 14, 19–21, 24, 34–42, 45, 46, 56, 57]. In this study, independent variables included in the analysis are broadly categorized as individual and community-level factors. The individual-level factors include maternal age (categorized as 15–24 years, 25–34 years, and \geq 35 years), maternal education (no education, primary, secondary, and higher), marital status (categorized as ever married and never married), household wealth status was derived from a combination of all household variables describing housing and assets and computed using principal component analysis (poorest, poorer, middle, richer, and richest), media exposure (exposed to at least one of radio, magazine/newspaper or television were labeled as 'yes' and those who did not were labeled as 'no'), insurance coverage (yes/ no), parity (categorized as primiparous, multiparous, and grand multiparous), ever had a pregnancy terminated (yes/no), pregnancy intention (wanted then, wanted later and wanted no more), perception of distance from the health facility (big problem/not a big problem) and employment status (not employed/employed).

Community-level factors were: place of residence (rural/urban), community-level literacy, community-level poverty, and community media exposure. The community-level variables such as community-level literacy, community-level poverty, and community media exposure were obtained by aggregating the individual-level variables into clusters by using the proportion. Community-level literacy is measured as the proportion of women who completed primary and above educational level in the primary sampling unit. It was categorized as low, medium and high if less than 25%, 25%-50% and more than 50% of study population of the cluster had at least eight years of education respectively. Community-level poverty was computed from the household wealth and defined as the proportion of women in the top 3 wealth quantiles (middle, richer and richest) in the clusters. It was categorized as low, medium and high if less than 25%, 25%-50% and more than 50% of study population of the cluster had at least middle quintile respectively. Community nedia exposure is the proportion of women who had exposure to at least one type of media; radio, newspaper, or television in the primary sampling unit. Similarly, community media exposure was categorized as low, medium, and high.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis was carried out with STATA version 14. Since DHS surveys follows the same standard procedure sampling, questionnaires, data collection, and coding, datasets were

Country	Survey year	Weighted sample size
Angola	2015-16	6,919
Burkina Faso	2010	9,964
Benin	2017-18	7,965
Burundi	2016-17	8,867
Central democratic Congo	2013-14	9,918
Congo	211-12	5,474
Cote d'vore	2011-12	4,814
Cameroon	2018	5,758
Ethiopia	2016	4,741
Gabon	2012	3,518
Ghana	2014	4,034
Gambia	2013	5,252
Guinea	2018	4,689
Kenya	2014	13,839
Comoros	2012	1,879
Liberia	2013	4,632
Lesotho	2014	2,450
Madagascar	2008-09	7,794
Mali	2018	5,264
Malawi	2015-16	13,251
Mozambique	2011	7,112
Nigeria	2018	16,542
Niger	2012	6,817
Namibia	2013	3,693
Rwanda	2014-15	6,006
Sera lone	2013	8,372
Senegal	2010	7,238
Sao tome and principe	2008-09	1,316
Eswatini	2006-07	2,068
Chad	2014-15	7,050
Togo	2013	4,501
Tanzania	2015-16	6,930
Uganda	2016	9,947
South Africa	2016	2,845
Zambia	2018	7,233
Zimbabwe	2015	4,658

 Table 1. Countries, survey year, and samples of Demographic and Health Surveys included in the analysis for 36 sub-Saharan African countries.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262411.t001

appended together to explore the timing of ANC and its associated factors among women in sSA. Both descriptive and analytic analysis were carried out after the weighting of data using sample weights to adjust disproportional sampling and non-response as well as to restore the representativeness of the sample so that the total sample looks like the country's actual population. Frequencies and percentages were used to describe the background characteristics of the study participants. Multilevel logistic regression was employed because our outcome variable (timing of the first ANC visit) was measured as a binary factor and since DHS data are hierarchical, i.e. individuals (level 1) were nested within communities (level 2). To cater for the unexplained variability at the community level, we used clusters as random effect. The log of the probability of the timing of ANC was modeled using a two-level model as follows:

Log $[\Pi ij / 1 - \Pi ij] = \beta 0 + \beta_1 X ij + B_2 Z ij + \mu j + e ij$ Where

i and j are the individual (level 1) and community (level 2) units, respectively;

X and Z refer to level 1 and (level 2) variables, respectively;

 π ij is the probability of timely initiation of ANC

the β 's are fixed coefficients;

 $\beta 0$ is the intercept-the effect on the probability of the timing of ANC in the absence of independent variables;

 μ j and eij are random effect (effect of the community on timing of ANC for the jth community) and random errors at the individual levels respectively.

In particular, three models were constructed [58]. We first constructed an empty model, which only includes outcome variable and cluster variable to test the random effect betweencluster variability. Then model containing only individual-level variables (model I) was fitted. Finally, in model II, we adjusted for both individual and community-level variables to estimate the association between timely initiation of ANC and the factors. The Intra-class Correlation Coefficient (ICC), the Median Odds Ratio (MOR), and the Proportional Change in Variance (PCV) were computed to assess the clustering effect/variability. ICC shows the variation in timely initiation of ANC for reproductive women due to community characteristics and it was calculated as follows:

ICC = VA/(VA+3.29), where VA is the estimated variance of clusters in each model [59].

The MOR is defined as the median odds ratio between the area at highest risk and the area at the lowest risk when comparing two individuals from two different randomly chosen clusters. It was calculated using the formula:

MOR = exp. $[\sqrt{(2 \times VA)} \times 0.6745] \approx \exp(0.95\sqrt{VA})]$

Where VA is the cluster level variance in each model [59, 60].

We used PCV to measure total variation attributed to an individual or/and communitylevel factors at each model. It was calculated as: PCV $\% = (VA-VB/VA)^*100$, where VA = variance of the empty model, and VB = variance of the model with more factors [59]. Moreover, deviance information criteria (DIC) was used to compare the candidate model, which was calculated as: deviance = -2log-likelihood ratio. It is always greater or equal than zero, being zero only if the fit is perfect. Therefore, model with the minimum value of deviance was selected for data analysis.

First, we fit unadjusted regression models for each explanatory variable to select variables for multivariable analysis, and variables with p-value ≤ 0.20 in the unadjusted regression analysis were included in multivariable analysis. Finally, results for the multivariable analysis have been presented as odds ratios (OR), with their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI), and p-value <0.05 were considered to be significant factors associated with the timely initiation of ANC.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval for this study was not required since this study used existing public domain survey data sets, which are freely available online with all identifier information removed. But to access and use the data we sought permission and approval from Measure DHS through the online request.

Results

Background characteristics of respondents

A total of 233,349 reproductive-age women who gave birth in the five years preceding the survey and who attend ANC visits for their last pregnancy were included in this study. Most of

the participants were in the age range of 25-34 years (n = 107,454, 46.1%), not exposed media (n = 140,264, 60.1%) and rural residents (n = 151,955, 65.1%). Regarding pregnancy intention, nearly three quarters (n = 161,924, 71.6%) of the respondents wanted their pregnancy later. Nearly, half of the study participants were from the community with high literacy (n = 115,402, 49.5%) and high media exposure (n = 113,363, 48.6%) (Table 2).

Table 2. Background characteristics of t	the study participants.
--	-------------------------

Individual-level Variable	Frequency	Percentage	Community-level variable	Frequency	Percentage
Maternal age			Residence		
15–24	71,439	30.6	Urban	81,394	34.9
25-34	107,454	46.1	Rural	151,955	65.1
≥35	54,456	23.3	Community-level literacy		
Maternal education			Low	59,508	25.5
Not educated	78,940	33.8	Medium	58,419	25.0
Primary	82,696	35.5	High	115,402	49.5
Secondary	62,378	26.7	Community-level poverty		
Higher	9,335	4.0	Low	92,098	39.5
Wealth status			Medium	79,038	33.9
Poorest	45,322	19.4	High	62,193	26.6
Poorer	47,526	20.4	Community media exposure		
Middle	47,297	20.2	Low	60,662	26.0
Richer	47,749	20.5	Medium	59,312	25.4
Richest	45,455	19.5	High	113,363	48.6
Marital status					
Never married	18,629	8.0			
Ever married	214,720	92.0			
Employment status					
Not employed	80,257	35.5			
Employed	145,616	64.5			
Insurance coverage					
No	196,754	93.7			
Yes	13,315	6.3			
Ever had a pregnancy terminated					
No	192,664	85.2			
Yes	33,443	14.8			
Parity					
Primiparous	51,434	22.1			
Multiparous	112,295	40.1			
Grand multiparous	69,620	29.8			
Pregnancy intention					
Wanted then	48,934	21.6			
Wanted later	161,924	71.6			
No more	15,224	6.8			
Media exposure					
No	140,264	60.1			
Yes	92,927	39.9			
Distance from health facility					
Big problem	83,519	38.6			
Not big problem	132,550	61.4			

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262411.t002

Prevalence of timely initiation of first ANC visit in sSA

The overall prevalence of timely initiation of ANC visit in 36 sSA countries was 38.0% (95% CI: 37.8–38.2). The prevalence of timely initiation of ANC visit was ranged from 14.5% in Mozambique to 68.6% in Liberia (Fig 1).

Random effects and model comparison

The empty model indicates 4.2% of the total variation on timely initiation of ANC was at the cluster level and may be attributable to community-level factors (ICC = 0.042). In the final model (model II), the total variation on timely initiation of ANC at the cluster level was reduced to 2% (ICC = 0.002) and may be attributable to other unobserved community-level factors. Additionally, model II had the lowest MOR value (1.31) indicating the effects of community heterogeneity was low as compared with the empty model. In the final model (model II), as indicated by the PCV, 42.0% of the variation in timely initiation of ANC across communities was explained by both individual and community-level factors. Model II with the lowest (280,944) deviance was used to identify significantly associated factors with timely initiation of ANC among reproductive-age women in sSA (Table 3).



Fig 1. Prevalence of timely initiation of ANC visit in sSA countries.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262411.g001

Name of the section	Variable	Empty model	Model I	Model II
Maternal aignIndexIndex15-24IndexIndex15-24IndexIndex25-34IndexIndex2-35IndexIndex2-35IndexIndexAlternal elucationIndexIndexMaternal elucationIndexIndexPrimaryIndexIndexSecondaryIndexIndexFigherIndexIndexFigherIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexSchelerIndexIndexMiddleIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexSchelerIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexProtectIndexIndexP			AOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
15-24II125-341.20 (1.17.12.3)1.20 (1.7.1.2.2)2351.30 (1.2.1.34)1.30 (1.5.1.37)Maternal clocationIINot reducatedIIPrimary0.95 (0.930.97)0.96 (0.94.1.02)Secondary1.04 (1.05.1.11)1.08 (1.06.1.11)Lighter1.41 (1.33.1.48)1.45 (1.5.1.51)Wealth statusIIPoorest11Poorest1.01 (0.97.1.03)0.99 (0.98.1.02)Kicher1.01 (0.97.1.04)0.88 (0.95.1.02)Kicher1.01 (0.97.1.04)1.80 (0.96.1.05)Kicher1.01 (0.97.1.04)1.80 (0.96.1.05)Kicher1.01 (0.97.1.04)1.90 (0.98.1.02)Kicher1.01 (0.97.1.04)1.90 (0.98.1.02)Kicher1.01 (0.97.1.04)1.90 (0.98.1.02)Kicher1.01 (0.97.1.05)0.94 (0.92.0.95)No1.111Yes1.1 <t< td=""><td>Maternal age</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Maternal age			
$2-34$ 120 (1.71.23) 1.20 (1.71.23) ≥ 35 1.30 (1.26.1.34) 1.20 (1.7.1.23) ≥ 35 1.30 (1.26.1.34) 1.30 (1.26.1.35) Not actual 1 1 Pointary 0.95 (0.90.97) 0.95 (0.94.1.02) Secondary 1.08 (1.05.1.11) 1.08 (1.06.1.11) Higher 1.41 (1.33.1.48) 1.43 (1.36.1.51) Walth status 2 2 Poorer 1.02 (0.99.05) 1.01 (0.08.1.01) Middle 1.01 (0.97.1.03) 0.96 (0.98.1.02) Richer 1.01 (0.98.1.04) 0.98 (0.95.1.02) Richer 1.01 (0.98.1.04) 0.98 (0.95.1.02) Richer 1.01 (0.98.1.04) 0.98 (0.95.1.02) Employed 1.01 (0.98.1.04) 0.98 (0.95.1.02) Employed 1.01 (1.06.1.10) 1.03 (0.95.1.02) Employed 1.03 (1.06.1.10) 1.03 (0.95.1.02) Employed 1.01 (1.10.1.10) 1.01 (1.10.1.10) Yes 1.05 (1.10.1.10) 1.05 (0.91.11) Yes 1.05 (1.05.1.01) 0.95 (0.92.1.01)	15-24		1	1
≥5I.30 (1.26.1.34)I.30 (1.26.1.35)Maternal education11Not educated10.95 (0.93.0.97)0.96 (0.94.1.02)Secondary1.08 (1.05.1.11)1.08 (1.06.1.11)Higher1.08 (1.05.1.11)1.08 (1.06.1.11)Higher1.01 (1.03.1.48)1.43 (1.36.1.51)Walds status11Poorest11.01 (0.99.1.05)Bicker1.02 (0.99.1.05)1.01 (0.98.1.04)Kicker1.01 (0.97.1.03)0.99 (0.98.1.02)Ricker1.01 (0.97.1.03)0.99 (0.98.1.02)Ricker1.01 (0.97.1.03)0.99 (0.98.1.02)Ricker1.01 (0.97.1.03)0.99 (0.98.1.02)Ricker1.01 (0.97.1.03)0.99 (0.98.1.02)Ricker1.01 (0.97.1.03)1.99 (0.97.1.02)Ricker1.01 (1.06.1.10)1.83 (0.96.1.02)Ricker1.01 (1.97.1.33)1.99 (0.97.1.02)Not111Not111Not111Not111Yes11.01 (1.2.1.18)1.99 (0.92.1.04)Primiparous0.85 (0.83.0.87)0.95 (0.92.1.04)Crand multiparous0.65 (0.64.0.68)0.87 (0.84.0.83)Crand multiparous0.66 (0.64.0.68)0.87 (0.87.0.85)No111Wanted later0.28 (0.77.0.83)0.84 (0.82.0.86)No111Yes1.01 (1.04.1.03)1.97 (1.26.1.32)Distance from HF1 </td <td>25-34</td> <td></td> <td>1.20 (1.17,1.23)</td> <td>1.20 (1.17,1.22)</td>	25-34		1.20 (1.17,1.23)	1.20 (1.17,1.22)
Material educationInternal educationInternal educationInternal educationNor educated0.95 (0.93,0.97)0.96 (0.94,1.02)Secondary0.08 (1.05,1.11)1.08 (1.05,1.11)Higher1.08 (1.05,1.11)1.08 (1.05,1.11)Wealth states1.01 (1.03,1.48)1.43 (1.36.151)Wealth states1.02 (0.99,1.05)1.01 (0.98,1.04)Poorer1.02 (0.99,1.05)1.01 (0.98,1.04)Middle1.02 (0.99,1.05)0.99 (0.95,1.02)Bicher1.02 (0.99,1.05)0.99 (0.95,1.02)Richer1.03 (0.96,1.04)0.98 (0.95,1.02)Richer1.01 (0.98,1.04)0.98 (0.95,1.02)Not employed11.01 (0.98,1.04)Matter approprint stratus1.08 (1.06,1.10)1.03 (0.96,1.08)Not employed11.03 (0.96,1.08)Not employed1.03 (0.61,0.03)0.95 (0.92,1.04)Not employed0.86 (0.80,0.87)0.95 (0.92,1.04)Not employed0.81 (0.75,0.85)8.81 (0.82,0.86)Not employed1.01 (0.91,0.91)1.01 (0.91,0.91)Printjarous11.01 (0.91,0.91)Nor employed0.81 (0.75,0.85)8.81 (0.82,0.86)Nor emplo	≥35		1.30 (1.26,1.34)	1.30 (1.26,1.35)
Not educated 1 1 1 Primary 0.95 (0.930.97) 0.96 (0.94.02) Secondary 1.08 (1.65,1.11) 1.08 (1.65,1.11) Higher 1.41 (1.33,1.48) 1.43 (1.35,1.51) Wealth status	Maternal education			
Primary 095 (0.93,0.97) 0.96 (0.94,1.02) Secondary 1.08 (1.05,1.11) 1.08 (1.06,1.11) Higher 1.41 (1.3,1.48) 1.45 (1.05,1.13) Wanh status 1 1 Poorest 1.01 (0.91,0.04) 0.98 (0.92,0.04) Middle 1.01 (0.92,0.04) 0.98 (0.95,1.02) Middle 1.01 (0.92,0.04) 0.98 (0.95,1.02) Richer 1.01 (0.92,0.04) 0.98 (0.95,0.02) Richer 1.01 (0.93,0.04) 0.98 (0.95,0.02) Richer 1.01 (0.93,0.04) 0.98 (0.95,0.02) Not employed 1 1 1 Secondary preminated 1 1.01 (0.98,1.04) Not employed 1 1.01 (0.98,1.01) 1.01 (0.98,1.01) Primiparous 0.65 (0.40,8.05) 0.87 (0.83,0.07) 0.96 (0.92,1.04) Orand miliparous	Not educated		1	1
Secondary 1.08 (1.05,1.11) 1.08 (1.06,1.11) Higher 1.41 (1.33,1.48) 1.43 (1.36,1.51) Weath status 1 1.43 (1.36,1.51) Poorest 1.02 (0.99,1.05) 1.01 (0.98,1.04) Middle 1.01 (0.97,1.03) 0.99 (0.98,1.02) Richer 1.01 (0.98,1.04) 0.98 (0.95,1.02) Richer 1.01 (0.98,1.04) 0.98 (0.95,1.02) Richer 1.01 (0.98,1.04) 0.98 (0.95,1.02) Richer 1.01 (0.98,1.04) 0.91 (0.98,1.02) Richer 1.01 (0.98,1.04) 1.01 (0.98,1.03) Prinipoyod 1.11 (1.11,1.12) 1.01 (0.98,1.01) Ne 1.11 (1.11,1.11,1.11) 1.01 (0.98,1.01) Priniparous 0.65 (0.80,0.87) 0.95 (0.92,1.04) Grand multiparous 0.66 (0.64,0.68) 0.87 (0.84,1.03) No more </td <td>Primary</td> <td></td> <td>0.95 (0.93,0.97)</td> <td>0.96 (0.94,1.02)</td>	Primary		0.95 (0.93,0.97)	0.96 (0.94,1.02)
Higher 1.41 (1.33, 1.48) 1.43 (1.36, 1.51) Wadlis status	Secondary		1.08 (1.05,1.11)	1.08 (1.06,1.11)
Weakh statusImage: statusImage: statusPoorerImage: statusImage: statusBiddeImage: statusImage: statusKichertImage: statusImage: statusEmployment statusImage: statusImage: statusStatusImage: statusImag	Higher		1.41 (1.33,1.48)	1.43 (1.36,1.51)
Poorest 1 1 Poorer 1.02 (0.91,05) 1.01 (0.93,1.04) Middle 1.01 (0.97,1.03) 0.99 (0.98,1.02) Richer 1.01 (0.98,1.04) 0.98 (0.95,1.02) Richer 1.01 (0.98,1.04) 0.98 (0.95,1.02) Richer 1.01 (0.98,1.04) 0.98 (0.95,1.02) Richer 1.25 (1.21,1.30) 1.19 (1.14,1.22) Prophyment status	Wealth status			
Poorer 1.02 (0.99,1.05) 1.01 (0.98,1.04) Middle 1.01 (0.97,1.03) 0.99 (0.98,1.02) Richer 1.01 (0.98,1.04) 0.98 (0.95,1.02) Richest 1.25 (1.21,1.30) 1.19 (1.14,1.22) Employment status	Poorest		1	1
Middle 1.01 (0.97,1.03) 0.99 (0.98,1.02) Richer 1.01 (0.98,1.04) 0.98 (0.95,1.02) Richest 1.25 (1.21,1.30) 1.19 (1.14,1.22) Employment status 1 1 Mote mployed 1 1 Employnent status 1 1 Not employed 1.08 (1.06,1.10) 1.03 (0.96,1.08) Ever had a pregnancy terminated 1 1 No 1.1 1 1 Yes 1.15 (1.12,1.18) 1.09 (0.96,1.08) 1 Priniparous 1.15 (1.12,1.18) 1.09 (0.96,1.01) 1 Multiparous 0.85 (0.83,0.87) 0.95 (0.92,1.04) 0 Grand multiparous 0.66 (0.64,0.68) 0.87 (0.84,1.03) 1 Multiparous 0.66 (0.64,0.68) 0.87 (0.84,1.03) 1 Wanted then 1 1 1 1 Wanted tare 0.81 (0.78,0.85) 0.84 (0.82,0.86) 0.87 (0.84,1.03) No 1 1 1 1 Yes 1.31 (1.29,1.3	Poorer		1.02 (0.99,1.05)	1.01 (0.98,1.04)
Richer 1.01 (0.98,1.04) 0.98 (0.95,1.02) Richest 1.25 (1.21,1.30) 1.19 (1.14,1.22) Employment status 1 1 Not employed 1.08 (1.06,1.10) 1.03 (0.96,1.08) Ever had a pregnancy terminated 1 1 No 1.15 (1.12,1.18) 1.09 (0.96,1.08) Perimiparous 1 1 1 Yes 1.15 (1.12,1.18) 1.09 (0.98,1.11) Parity 0 0.85 (0.83,0.87) 0.95 (0.92,1.04) Grand multiparous 0.85 (0.83,0.87) 0.95 (0.92,1.04) Grand multiparous 0.86 (0.64,0.68) 0.87 (0.84,1.03) Pregnancy intention 0 1 1 Wanted then 1 1 1 Wanted later 0.81 (0.78,0.85) 0.84 (0.82,0.86) No 1 1 1 Wanted later 0.81 (0.78,0.85) 0.84 (0.82,0.86) No 1 1 1 Vanted then 1 1 1 No 1 1<	Middle		1.01 (0.97,1.03)	0.99 (0.98,1.02)
Richest 1.25 (1.21, 1.30) 1.19 (1.14, 1.22) Employed 1 1 Not employed 1.08 (1.06, 1.10) 1.03 (0.96, 1.08) Ever had a pregnancy terminated 1 1 No 1 1 1 Yes 1.15 (1.12, 1.18) 1.09 (0.98, 1.11) Parity 1 1 1 Multiparous 0.85 (0.83, 0.87) 0.95 (0.92, 1.04) Grand multiparous 0.66 (0.64, 0.68) 0.87 (0.84, 1.03) Preimparous 0.66 (0.64, 0.68) 0.87 (0.84, 0.32, 0.68) Orgenancy intention 1 1 1 Wanted then 1 1 1 Wanted tater 0.81 (0.78, 0.85) 0.84 (0.82, 0.86) 0.87 (0.84, 0.32, 0.86) No more 0.74 (0.71, 0.79) 0.80 (0.77, 0.83) 0.81 (0.87, 0.82) 0.81 (0.87, 0.82) Distance from HF 1 1 1 1 1 Not a big problem 1.07 (1.04, 1.08) 1.05 (1.03, 1.07) Residence 1 1 1 Urban	Richer		1.01 (0.98,1.04)	0.98 (0.95,1.02)
Employment status Image: Constraint of the status Image: Constraint of the status Not employed 1 1 1 Employed 1.08 (1.06,1.10) 1.03 (0.96,1.08) 1.03 (0.96,1.08) Ever had a pregnancy terminated 1 1 1.03 (0.96,1.08) No 1 1 1.03 (0.96,1.08) 1.03 (0.96,1.08) Vers 1.15 (1.12,1.18) 1.09 (0.81,11) 1.01 1.03 (0.96,1.08) Primiparous 1 1 1 1.01 1.03 (0.96,1.08) Grand multiparous 0.65 (0.83,0.87) 0.95 (0.92,1.04) 0.66 (0.64,0.68) 0.87 (0.84,1.03) Pregnancy intention 0 0.66 (0.64,0.68) 0.87 (0.84,1.03) 1.07 (0.84,1.03) Pregnancy intention 1 1 1 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 (0.92,0.86) 0.03 (0.77,0.83) 0.84 (0.82,0.86) 0.03 (0.77,0.83) 0.84 (0.82,0.86) 0.03 (0.77,0.83) 0.84 (0.82,0.86) 0.03 (0.77,0.83) 0.84 (0.82,0.86) 0.03 (0.77,0.83) 0.85 (0.31,0.7) 0.85 (0.31,0.7) 0.85 (0.31,0.7) 0.85 (0.31,0.7) 0.85 (0.31	Richest		1.25 (1.21,1.30)	1.19 (1.14,1.22)
Not employed 1 1 Exer had a pregnancy terminated 1.08 (1.06,1.10) 1.03 (0.96,1.08) Ever had a pregnancy terminated 1 1.03 (0.96,1.08) No 1 1 1 Yes 1.15 (1.12,1.18) 1.09 (0.98,1.11) Parity 1 1 1 Primiparous 0.85 (0.83,0.87) 0.95 (0.92,1.04) Grand multiparous 0.66 (0.64,0.68) 0.87 (0.84,1.03) Pregnancy intention 0 1 1 Wanted then 1 1 1 Wanted ther 0.81 (0.78,0.85) 0.84 (0.82,0.86) 0.87 (0.70,0.83) Media exposure 0.74 (0.71,0.79) 0.80 (0.77,0.83) 0.87 (0.70,0.83) Media exposure 1 1 1 Ves 1.31 (1.29,1.34) 1.29 (1.26,1.32) 1.29 (1.26,1.32) Distance from HF 1 1 1 1 Residence 1.07 (1.04,1.08) 1.05 (1.03,1.07) 1 Rural 0.90 (0.87,0.92) 0.90 (0.87,0.92) 1 </td <td>Employment status</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Employment status			
Employed 1.08 (1.06,1.10) 1.03 (0.96,1.08) Ever had a pregnancy terminated 1 1 No 1 1 1 Yes 1.15 (1.12,1.18) 1.09 (0.98,1.11) Primiparous 1 1 1 Multiparous 0.85 (0.83.0.87) 0.95 (0.92,1.04) 0 Grand multiparous 0.66 (0.64,0.68) 0.87 (0.84,1.03) 0 Pregnancy intention 0.66 (0.64,0.68) 0.87 (0.84,1.03) 0 Wanted then 1 1 1 1 Wanted later 0.81 (0.78,0.85) 0.84 (0.82,0.86) 0.87 (0.82,0.86) No more 0.74 (0.71,0.79) 0.80 (0.77,0.83) 0 Media exposure 1 1 1 No 1 1 1 1 Yes 1.31 (1.29,1.34) 1.29 (1.26,1.32) 1 Distance from HF 1 1 1 1 1 Not a big problem 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <td>Not employed</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td>	Not employed		1	1
Ever had a pregnancy terminated International system No 1 1 Yes 1.15 (1.12,1.18) 1.09 (0.98,1.11) Parity 1 1 Multiparous 1 1 Multiparous 0.85 (0.83,0.87) 0.95 (0.92,1.04) Grand multiparous 0.66 (0.64,0.68) 0.87 (0.84,1.03) Pregnancy intention 1 1 Wanted hen 1 1 No 1 1 No 1 1 <	Employed		1.08 (1.06,1.10)	1.03 (0.96,1.08)
No 1 1 Yes 1.15 (1.12,1.18) 1.09 (0.98,1.11) Parity 1 1 Primiparous 1 1 Multiparous 0.85 (0.83,0.87) 0.95 (0.92,1.04) Grand multiparous 0.66 (0.64,0.68) 0.87 (0.84,1.03) Pregnacy intention 1 1 Wanted then 1 1 Wanted later 0.81 (0.78,0.85) 0.84 (0.82,0.86) No more 0.74 (0.71,0.79) 0.80 (0.77,0.83) Media exposure 1 1 No 1 1 1.29 (1.26,1.32) Distance from HF 1 1 1.29 (1.26,1.32) Distance from HF 1 1 1 No ta big problem 1.07 (1.04,1.08) 1.05 (1.03,1.07) Residence 1 1 1 Urban 1 1 1 Rural 0.90 (0.87,0.92) 0 0.90 (0.87,0.92) Community media exposure 1 1 1 Medium	Ever had a pregnancy terminated	1		
Yes 1.15 (1.12,1.18) 1.09 (0.98,1.11) Parity 1 1 Multiparous 0.85 (0.83,0.87) 0.95 (0.92,1.04) Grand multiparous 0.66 (0.64,0.68) 0.87 (0.84,1.03) Pregnancy intention 1 1 Wanted then 1 1 Wanted later 0.81 (0.78,0.85) 0.84 (0.82,0.86) No more 0.74 (0.71,0.79) 0.80 (0.77,0.83) Media exposure 1 1 Yes 1.31 (1.29,1.34) 1.29 (1.26,1.32) Distance from HF 1 1 Big problem 1 1 Not a big problem 1.07 (1.04,1.08) 1.05 (1.03,1.07) Residence 1 1 1 Urban 1 1 1 Rural 0.90 (0.87,0.92) Community media exposure 1 Low 1.08 (0.97,1.05) 1 1.01 (0.95,1.06)	<u>No</u>		1	1
Date Date (respects) Date (respects) Priniparous 1 1 Multiparous 0.85 (0.83,0.87) 0.95 (0.92,1.04) Grand multiparous 0.66 (0.64,0.68) 0.87 (0.84,1.03) Pregnancy intention 0 0.66 (0.64,0.68) 0.87 (0.84,1.03) Wanted then 1 1 1 Wanted then 0.81 (0.78,0.85) 0.84 (0.82,0.86) 0.87 (0.84,1.03) No more 0.81 (0.78,0.85) 0.84 (0.82,0.86) 0.87 (0.82,0.86) No more 0.81 (0.78,0.85) 0.84 (0.82,0.86) 0.87 (0.82,0.86) No more 0.81 (0.78,0.85) 0.84 (0.82,0.86) 0.87 (0.82,0.86) No more 0.81 (0.78,0.85) 0.80 (0.77,0.83) 0.80 (0.77,0.83) Media exposure 1 1 1 1 Yes 1.31 (1.29,1.34) 1.29 (1.26,1.32) 1 Distance from HF 1 1 1 1 Residence 0 0 0.00 (0.87,0.92) 0 Community media exposure 1 1 1.00 (0.97	Yes		1.15 (1.12.1.18)	1.09 (0.98,1,11)
Image: Second	Parity			
Interpret Image: Constraint of the second seco	Primiparous		1	1
Internation Internation Internation Grand multiparous 0.66 (0.64,0.68) 0.87 (0.84,1.03) Pregnancy intention 1 1 Wanted then 1 1 Wanted later 0.81 (0.78,0.85) 0.84 (0.82,0.86) No more 0.74 (0.71,0.79) 0.80 (0.77,0.83) Media exposure 1 1 No 1 1 Yes 1.31 (1.29,1.34) 1.29 (1.26,1.32) Distance from HF 1 1 Big problem 1 1 Not a big problem 1.07 (1.04,1.08) 1.05 (1.03,1.07) Residence 1 1 Urban 1 1 Rural 0.90 (0.87,0.92) 0.90 (0.87,0.92) Community media exposure 1 1.08 (0.97,1.05) High 1 1.01 (0.95,1.06) 1.01 (0.95,1.06) Community-level litreacy 1 1.01 (0.41,0.43) 1.51 (1.40,1.63) High 1.51 (1.40,1.63) 1.51 (1.40,1.63) 1.51 (1.40,1.63)	Multiparous		0.85 (0.83.0.87)	0.95 (0.92,1.04)
Pregnancy intention Intervention Wanted then 1 1 Wanted then 1 1 Wanted later 0.81 (0.78, 0.85) 0.84 (0.82, 0.86) No more 0.74 (0.71, 0.79) 0.80 (0.77, 0.83) Media exposure 1 1 No 1 1 Yes 1.31 (1.29, 1.34) 1.29 (1.26, 1.32) Distance from HF 1 1 Big problem 1 1 Not a big problem 1.007 (1.04, 1.08) 1.05 (1.03, 1.07) Residence 1 1 Urban 1 1 Rural 0.90 (0.87, 0.92) 0 Community media exposure 1 1.08 (0.97, 1.05) High 1.01 (0.95, 1.06) 1.01 (0.95, 1.06) Community-level litreacy 1 1 Iwe 1 1.1 (1.41, 1.63) High 1.51 (1.40, 1.63) 1.56 (1.38, 1.76)	Grand multiparous		0.66 (0.64.0.68)	0.87 (0.84,1.03)
Wanted then 1 1 Wanted then 0.81 (0.78, 0.85) 0.84 (0.82, 0.86) No more 0.74 (0.71, 0.79) 0.80 (0.77, 0.83) Media exposure 1 1 No 1 1 Yes 1.31 (1.29, 1.34) 1.29 (1.26, 1.32) Distance from HF 1 1 Big problem 1 1 Not a big problem 1 105 (1.03, 1.07) Residence 1 1 Urban 1 1 Rural 0.90 (0.87, 0.92) 1 Community media exposure 1 1.08 (0.97, 1.05) High 1.01 (0.95, 1.06) 1.01 (0.95, 1.06) Community-level litreacy 1 1.1 (1.40, 1.63) High 1.51 (1.40, 1.63) 1.55 (1.38, 1.76)	Pregnancy intention			
Wanted later 0.81 (0.78,0.85) 0.84 (0.82,0.86) No more 0.74 (0.71,0.79) 0.80 (0.77,0.83) Media exposure 1 1 No 1 1 Yes 1.31 (1.29,1.34) 1.29 (1.26,1.32) Distance from HF 1 1 Big problem 1 1 Not a big problem 1.07 (1.04,1.08) 1.05 (1.03,1.07) Residence 1 1 Urban 1 1 Rural 0.90 (0.87,0.92) 0.90 (0.87,0.92) Community media exposure 1 1.08 (0.97,1.05) High 1 1.01 (0.95,1.06) 0.90 (0.87,0.92) Kedium 1.08 (0.97,1.05) 1.01 (0.95,1.06) 0.90 (0.87,0.92)	Wanted then		1	1
No more 0.74 (0.71,0.79) 0.80 (0.77,0.83) Media exposure 1 1 No 1 1 Yes 1.31 (1.29,1.34) 1.29 (1.26,1.32) Distance from HF 1 1 Big problem 1 1 Not a big problem 1.07 (1.04,1.08) 1.05 (1.03,1.07) Residence 1 1 Urban 1 1 Rural 0.90 (0.87,0.92) 1 Community media exposure 1 0.90 (0.87,0.92) Community media exposure 1 1.08 (0.97,1.05) High 1 1.01 (0.05,1.06) Community-level litreacy 1 1.01 (0.05,1.06) Low 1 1.01 (0.05,1.06) Medium 1.01 (0.05,1.06) 1 High 1 1.51 (1.40,1.63)	Wanted later		0.81 (0.78.0.85)	0.84 (0.82.0.86)
Media exposure Image: Constraints of the second	No more		0.74 (0.71.0.79)	0.80 (0.77.0.83)
No 1 1 Yes 1.31 (1.29,1.34) 1.29 (1.26,1.32) Distance from HF 1 1 Big problem 1 1 Not a big problem 1.07 (1.04,1.08) 1.05 (1.03,1.07) Residence 1 1 Urban 1 1 Rural 0.90 (0.87,0.92) 1 Community media exposure 1 1.08 (0.97,1.05) High 1.01 (0.95,1.06) 1.01 (0.95,1.06) Community-level litreacy 1 1 Low 1 1.01 (1.41,1.63) High 1.01 (1.41,1.63) 1.51 (1.40,1.63)	Media exposure			
Yes 1.31 (1.29,1.34) 1.29 (1.26,1.32) Distance from HF 1 1 Big problem 1 1 Not a big problem 1.07 (1.04,1.08) 1.05 (1.03,1.07) Residence 1 1 Urban 1 1 Rural 0.90 (0.87,0.92) 1 Community media exposure 1 1.08 (0.97,1.05) High 1.01 (0.95,1.06) 1 Low 1 1 Medium 1 1 High 1.01 (0.95,1.06) 1 High 1 1 High 1.01 (0.95,1.06) 1	No		1	1
TestTest (TEST(TEST)TEST(TEST)Distance from HF11Big problem11Not a big problem1.07 (1.04,1.08)1.05 (1.03,1.07)Residence11Urban11Rural0.90 (0.87,0.92)1Community media exposure1Low1.08 (0.97,1.05)High1.01 (0.95,1.06)Community-level litreacy1Low1Medium1.51 (1.40,1.63)High1.51 (1.40,1.63)High1.55 (1.38,1.76)	Yes		1 31 (1 29 1 34)	1 29 (1 26 1 32)
Big problem 1 1 Not a big problem 1.07 (1.04,1.08) 1.05 (1.03,1.07) Residence Urban 1 1 Rural 0.90 (0.87,0.92) Community media exposure 0.90 (0.87,0.92) Low 1.08 (0.97,1.05) High 1.01 (0.95,1.06) Community-level litreacy 1 Low 1 1.01 (0.95,1.06) High 1.01 (0.95,1.06) High 1.01 (0.95,1.06) Low 1 1.01 (0.95,1.06) High 1.01 (0.95,1.06) Low 1.01 (0.95,1.06) Low 1.01 (0.95,1.06) High 1.01 (0.95,1.06) Low 1.01 (0.95,1.06) High 1.01 (0.95,1.06)	Distance from HF			
Not a big problem 1.07 (1.04,1.08) 1.05 (1.03,1.07) Residence Urban 1 1 Rural 0.90 (0.87,0.92) Community media exposure Low 1.08 (0.97,1.05) High 1.01 (0.95,1.06) Community-level litreacy 1 Low 1 1.01 (0.95,1.06) High 1.101 (0.95,1.06) High 1.101 (0.95,1.06) Low 1 1.01 (0.95,1.06)	Big problem		1	1
Interface Interface Residence 1 Urban 1 Rural 0.90 (0.87,0.92) Community media exposure 1 Low 1.08 (0.97,1.05) High 1.01 (0.95,1.06) Community-level litreacy 1 Low 1 Medium 1.01 (0.95,1.06) High 1.51 (1.40,1.63) High 1.55 (1.38,1.76)	Not a big problem		1.07 (1.04.1.08)	1.05 (1.03.1.07)
Urban 1 Rural 0.90 (0.87,0.92) Community media exposure 0.90 (0.87,0.92) Low 0.90 (0.87,0.92) Medium 1.08 (0.97,1.05) High 1.01 (0.95,1.06) Community-level litreacy 1 Low 1 Medium 1.51 (1.40,1.63) High 1.51 (1.40,1.63)	Residence			
Rural 0.90 (0.87,0.92) Community media exposure 0.90 (0.87,0.92) Low 1.08 (0.97,1.05) Medium 1.08 (0.97,1.05) High 1.01 (0.95,1.06) Community-level litreacy 1 Low 1 Medium 1.51 (1.40,1.63) High 1.56 (1.38,1.76)	Urban			1
Kura 0.00 (0.07,0.02) Community media exposure Low Medium 1.08 (0.97,1.05) High 1.01 (0.95,1.06) Community-level litreacy Low 1 Medium 1.51 (1.40,1.63) High 1.56 (1.38,1.76)	Bural			0.90 (0.87.0.92)
Low Image: constrainty induct or position Medium 1.08 (0.97,1.05) High 1.01 (0.95,1.06) Community-level litreacy 1 Low 1 Medium 1.51 (1.40,1.63) High 1.56 (1.38,1.76)	Community media exposure			
Medium 1.08 (0.97,1.05) High 1.01 (0.95,1.06) Community-level litreacy 1 Low 1 Medium 1.51 (1.40,1.63) High 1.55 (1.38,1.76)	Low			
High 1.00 (0.57,1.05) High 1.01 (0.95,1.06) Community-level litreacy 1 Low 1 Medium 1.51 (1.40,1.63) High 1.56 (1.38,1.76)	Medium			1.08 (0.97 1.05)
Ingr Inf (0.5,1.00) Community-level litreacy 1 Low 1 Medium 1.51 (1.40,1.63) High 156 (1.38.1.76)	High			1.01 (0.95 1.06)
Low 1 Medium 1.51 (1.40,1.63) High 156 (1.38,1.76)	Community-level litreacy			
Medium 1.51 (1.40,1.63) High 1.56 (1.38,1.76)	Low			1
High 156 (138176)	Medium			1 51 (1 40 1 63)
	High			1.56 (1.38.1.76)

Table 3. Multilevel logistic regression analysis to assess factors	associated with timely initiation of first ANC	C visit in reproductive-age women in sSA
--	--	--

(Continued)

Variable	Empty model	Model I	Model II	
		AOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	
Community-level poverty				
Low			1	
Medium			1.02 (0.92,1.13)	
High			0.91 (0.80,1.03)	
Community level variance	0.143	0.124	0.083	
ICC	0.042	0.036	0.02	
MOR	1.43	1.40	1.31	
PCV	Reference	13.3	42.0	
Deviance	307,152	281,410	280,944	

Table 3. (Continued)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262411.t003

Factors associated with timely initiation of ANC

As presented in Table 3, where both the individual and community-level factors were included simultaneously; age, maternal education, pregnancy intention, wealth status, distance from the health facility, and media exposure were individual-level factors significantly associated with timely initiation of ANC visit. Among community-level factors, residence and community-level literacy were significantly associated with timely initiation of ANC visit in reproductive-age women.

The odds of timely initiation of ANC was 1.20 (AOR = 1.20; 95% CI: 1.17, 1.23) and 1.30 (AOR = 1.30; 95% CI: 1.26,1.35) times higher among women aged 25–34 years and \geq 35 years respectively as compared to women aged 15–24 years. The odd of timely initiation of ANC was 1.08 (AOR = 1.08; 95% CI: 1.06, 1.11) and 1.43 (AOR = 1.43; 95% CI: 1.36, 1.51) times higher in mothers who had secondary education and higher education respectively as compared to those mothers who had no formal education. Women in the richest wealth categories had 1.19 (AOR = 1.19; 95% CI: 1.14, 1.22) times higher odds of timely initiation of ANC as compared to the poorest women.

Higher odds of timely initiation of ANC occurred among women perceiving distance from the health facility as not a big problem as compared to women perceiving distance from the health facility as a big problem (AOR = 1.05; 95%CI: 1.03, 1.07). Again, higher odds occurred among women exposed to media as compared to women not exposed to media (AOR = 1.29; 95%CI: 1.26, 1.32).

The odds of timely initiation of ANC was 16% (AOR = 0.84; 95%CI: 0.82, 0.86) and 20% (AOR = 0.80; 95%CI: 0.77, 0.83) lower among women who wanted their last pregnancy later and wanted no more pregnancy respectively as compared to women who wanted their last pregnancy then. A woman who was living in the rural area had 10% (AOR = 0.90; 95%CI: 0.87, 0.92) lower odds of timely initiation of ANC as compared with a woman who was living in urban areas. Moreover, the odds of timely initiation of ANC was 1.51 (AOR = 1.45; 95%CI: 1.40, 1.63) and 1.56 (AOR = 1.56; 95%CI: 1.38, 1.76) times higher among women living in communities with medium percentage of literacy and high percentage of literacy.

Discussion

Timely initiation of ANC is a key strategy for meeting new ANC model guidelines (the 2016 recommendation) for a positive pregnancy experience [7, 61]. This study provides information

on the timely initiation of ANC and its associated factors using data from DHS from 36 sub-Saharan African countries.

This study showed that 38.0% (95% CI: 37.8–38.2) of the reproductive women initiated their ANC within the recommended time with a wide range between countries ranged from 14.5% in Mozambique to 68.6% in Liberia. Also, a previous study in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) reported that a wide range of timely initiation of ANC that ranged from 12.9% to 89.6% [62]. This variation among countries could be explained by the use of different cut-off points in defining early initiation of ANC (some countries defined early initiation of ANC based on the cut-off point of 12 weeks of gestation, whereas the other countries defined it based on 16 weeks), this results in a different perceived time of booking of ANC among women. However, this study used the same definition for all countries included in the analysis to defined timely initiation ANC based on the WHO definition. The WHO recommends women initiate first ANC visit within 12 weeks of gestation in order to achieve adequate ANC visits and identify and manage potential complications in early pregnancy [55]. However, this comprehensive analysis of 36 DHS data from the sSA countries suggested that a low proportion of women achieved this goal with variation among countries.

Consistent with previous studies conducted elsewhere [7, 9, 11, 20, 34, 39, 41–45], this study revealed that women with secondary and higher education were more likely to initiate ANC visit within the WHO recommended time (within 12 weeks of pregnancy) than women with no formal education. This is possibly because formal education increases women's understanding of multiple dimensions of health and health knowledge that leads women to seek greater use of acceptable maternal and child health services [63]. It is expected that educated women are more likely to understand the benefit of timely initiation of ANC visits and the negative effects of late ANC initiation. Moreover, educated women may have a high chance of exposure to information and have a greater decision making power on their own health as well as their children and demand higher quality service and pay more attention to their health in order to ensure better health for themselves and their child.

The results of this study show that higher odds of timely initiation of first ANC visit was observed among women in the richest wealth quantile. This finding is congruent with the study conducted in Ethiopia [11, 35, 39], Ghana [20], and Cameroon [56]. Despite ANC service is exempted service given for all pregnant women, it needs direct costs like transportation costs and indirect costs like household and work obligations in order to seek ANC [64]. Therefore, women with high household income are more likely to be able to afford the direct cost such as transportation costs and fulfill household and work obligations, this enables women to book for timely ANC. However, women with low household income need financial capacity to support their daily living and therefore they may be spent more time on economic activities to cater to their families rather than their health.

In agreement with existing literature [7, 9, 11, 19, 39–42, 45, 57, 65], this study indicated that women with unwanted pregnancy (pregnancy wanted later and no more) were less likely to start the first ANC visit within recommend time compared to women who wanted their pregnancy then. The possible reason might be women having wanted pregnancy has a chance of detecting the pregnancy earlier or women may give more cautious and excited to know their pregnancy status than those who had unwanted pregnancy. A study revealed that women who recognize their pregnancy later [40]. Additionally, wanted pregnancy is more cared for by the pregnant women themselves and their spouses and a woman who prefers the pregnancy might seek appropriate care for their pregnancy and they are alerted about the advantage of attending timely.

In this study, we found that women younger than 24 years were less likely to timely start ANC visits compared to those who were older (25–34 years and \geq 35 years). This finding supports the results of a study conducted in Ethiopia, Ghana, and Nigeria [20, 35, 39, 43]. This might be due to younger women who are unmarried are at risk of hesitating pregnancy disclosure to avoid potential social implications of the pregnancy. Because, in this study, 17.3% of young women (<25 years) were never married compared with 4.8% and 2.8% of women aged 25–34 years and \geq 35 years. Furthermore, early pregnancy awareness/recognition is increased with maternal age [66]. This suggested that younger women are less likely to recognize their pregnancy early compared with older women. If pregnancy is recognized early, women might be prepared to initiate ANC timely as suggested in a study [40].

This study also found women who had media exposure/access was more likely to initiate ANC visit timely compared to those who had not. This finding is supported by the results of other studies conducted in Ethiopia [6] and Nigeria [44] which similarly showed that women with media exposure were more likely to start ANC timely. This might be because women who are exposed to media have better awareness and information on the existence of maternal health care services and the benefits of timely utilization of services. Evidence indicated that mass media is a critical source of health information globally, especially in LMICs. For example, in Nigeria, Tanzania, and Malawi mass media is one of the major sources of disseminating information to increase health knowledge and changing the health behaviors of women in order to improve maternal health [67–69].

The other factor that was associated with timely ANC visits was distance from the health facility. This study shows that women who consider the distance from the health facility as not a big problem was made their ANC visit timely. Similarly, a study conducted in Ethiopia [7, 19], Cameroon [56], and Uganda [45] reveal that the odds of timely initiation of ANC was higher among women who travel a long distance to reach a health facility. This might be due to financial constraints are in turn related to other barriers to seeking help, including transportation costs, the cost of obtaining care, or laboratory tests. This might be women who live distant to the maternity facility may impose an extra cost for transportation service as well as lack of availability of transportation and therefore they fail to attain the health facility for receiving ANC services timely [70].

Moreover, place of residence was found to have a significant association with timely initiation of first ANC visit. Those women from rural areas had lower odds of timely initiation ANC visit as compared with those who were from urban areas. This association was similar to studies done in Ethiopia, Uganda, Nigeria, and Malaysia [9, 34, 39, 42, 44, 45]. The early booking among women from urban areas is likely to be attributed to the adequate availability and accessibility of health facilities as well as health personnel and having a better chance of health information in urban areas than rural areas. The other reason might be in fact urban women have better educational status than rural women. In this study also, 41.4% of rural women were not attained formal education compared with 19.6% of urban women.

Apart from this, Community women's education was also found to be the factors positively associated with timely ANC commencement. The odds of timely initiation of ANC was higher among women from the community with medium education and high education as compared to women from the community with low education. This might be due to the high literacy level in the community that may cause high health knowledge in the community that increases adequate utilization of maternal health services like timely initiation of ANC visit.

Strength and limitation of study

The main strength of the study was data used in this study were from nationally representative Demographic and Health Survey DHS from 36 sSA countries and therefore findings across the

sub-region could be generalized. The assessment of diverse factors such as individual and community-level factors that influences timely initiation of ANC using the multilevel analysis to accommodate the hierarchical nature of the data was another strength. Even though the important findings evolved in this study, the study had certain limitations that should be noted. The study was a cross-sectional study that did not show the temporal relationship between the outcome variable and independent variables. Moreover, recall bias might be a possible limitation because the DHS survey is relied on respondents' self-report based on their memories. Lastly, we acknowledge due to the secondary nature of data used important variables such as women's knowledge about the timing of ANC, women's perception on quality of ANC, and time of recognition of pregnancy were not included in this study.

Conclusion

Even though the WHO recommends all women initiate ANC within 12 weeks of gestation, sSA recorded a low overall prevalence of timely initiation of ANC. Maternal education, pregnancy intention, residence, age, wealth status, media exposure, distance from the health facility, and community level literacy were significantly associated with timely initiation of ANC. Therefore, intervention efforts should focus on the identified factors in order to improve timely initiation of ANC in sSA. This can be done through the providing information and education to the community on the timing and importance of attending antenatal care and family planning to prevent unwanted pregnancy, especially in rural settings. Moreover, strategies should be designed to address the persistent health access inequity for younger and poorest women need to be prioritized in order for the countries to improve access to early initiation of ANC for a positive pregnancy experience and this intern improves maternal health and birth outcomes.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Measure DHS program which granted us permission to use DHS data for this study.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Adugnaw Zeleke Alem.

- **Data curation:** Adugnaw Zeleke Alem, Yigizie Yeshaw, Alemneh Mekuriaw Liyew, Getayeneh Antehunegn Tesema, Tesfa Sewunet Alamneh, Misganaw Gabrie Worku, Achamyeleh Birhanu Teshale, Zemenu Tadesse Tessema.
- **Formal analysis:** Adugnaw Zeleke Alem, Yigizie Yeshaw, Alemneh Mekuriaw Liyew, Getayeneh Antehunegn Tesema, Tesfa Sewunet Alamneh, Misganaw Gabrie Worku, Achamyeleh Birhanu Teshale, Zemenu Tadesse Tessema.
- Funding acquisition: Alemneh Mekuriaw Liyew.
- **Investigation:** Adugnaw Zeleke Alem, Yigizie Yeshaw, Alemneh Mekuriaw Liyew, Getayeneh Antehunegn Tesema, Tesfa Sewunet Alamneh, Misganaw Gabrie Worku, Achamyeleh Birhanu Teshale, Zemenu Tadesse Tessema.
- Methodology: Adugnaw Zeleke Alem, Yigizie Yeshaw, Alemneh Mekuriaw Liyew, Getayeneh Antehunegn Tesema, Tesfa Sewunet Alamneh, Misganaw Gabrie Worku, Achamyeleh Birhanu Teshale, Zemenu Tadesse Tessema.

- **Resources:** Adugnaw Zeleke Alem, Yigizie Yeshaw, Alemneh Mekuriaw Liyew, Getayeneh Antehunegn Tesema, Tesfa Sewunet Alamneh, Misganaw Gabrie Worku, Achamyeleh Birhanu Teshale, Zemenu Tadesse Tessema.
- **Software:** Adugnaw Zeleke Alem, Yigizie Yeshaw, Alemneh Mekuriaw Liyew, Getayeneh Antehunegn Tesema, Tesfa Sewunet Alamneh, Misganaw Gabrie Worku, Achamyeleh Birhanu Teshale, Zemenu Tadesse Tessema.
- Supervision: Yigizie Yeshaw, Alemneh Mekuriaw Liyew, Getayeneh Antehunegn Tesema, Tesfa Sewunet Alamneh, Misganaw Gabrie Worku, Achamyeleh Birhanu Teshale, Zemenu Tadesse Tessema.
- Validation: Adugnaw Zeleke Alem, Yigizie Yeshaw, Alemneh Mekuriaw Liyew, Getayeneh Antehunegn Tesema, Tesfa Sewunet Alamneh, Misganaw Gabrie Worku, Achamyeleh Birhanu Teshale, Zemenu Tadesse Tessema.
- Visualization: Adugnaw Zeleke Alem, Yigizie Yeshaw, Alemneh Mekuriaw Liyew, Getayeneh Antehunegn Tesema, Tesfa Sewunet Alamneh, Misganaw Gabrie Worku, Achamyeleh Birhanu Teshale, Zemenu Tadesse Tessema.
- Writing original draft: Adugnaw Zeleke Alem, Yigizie Yeshaw, Alemneh Mekuriaw Liyew, Getayeneh Antehunegn Tesema, Tesfa Sewunet Alamneh, Misganaw Gabrie Worku, Achamyeleh Birhanu Teshale, Zemenu Tadesse Tessema.
- Writing review & editing: Adugnaw Zeleke Alem, Yigizie Yeshaw, Alemneh Mekuriaw Liyew, Getayeneh Antehunegn Tesema, Tesfa Sewunet Alamneh, Misganaw Gabrie Worku, Achamyeleh Birhanu Teshale, Zemenu Tadesse Tessema.

References

- Blencowe H, Cousens S, Jassir FB, Say L, Chou D, Mathers C, et al. National, regional, and worldwide estimates of stillbirth rates in 2015, with trends from 2000: a systematic analysis. Lancet Glob Heal [Internet]. 2015; 4(2):e98–108. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(15)00275-2.
- Ma LH, Alexander M, You D, Alkema L, Group UNI, Estimation M. National, regional, and global levels and trends in neonatal mortality between 1990 and 2017, with scenario-based projections to 2030: a systematic analysis. Lancet Glob Heal [Internet]. 2019; 7(6):e710–20. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/S2214-109X(19)30163-9.
- Wondemagegn A.T., Alebel A., Tesema C, et al. The effect of antenatal care follow-up on neonatal health outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Public Heal Rev. 2018; 39(33). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s40985-018-0110-y</u> PMID: 30574407
- Tekelab T, Chojenta C, Smith R LD. The impact of antenatal care on neonatal mortality in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2019; 14(9). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0222566 PMID: 31518365
- Starbird Ellen, Maureen Norton RM. Investing in Family Planning: Key to Achieving the Sustainable Development Goals. Glob Heal Sci Pract. 2016; 4(2):1–20. https://doi.org/10.9745/GHSP-D-15-00374 PMID: 27353614
- 6. Geta MB, Yallew WW. Early Initiation of Antenatal Care and Factors Associated with Early Antenatal Care Initiation at Health Facilities in Southern Ethiopia. Adv Public Heal. 2017; 2017.
- Debelew GT. Individual, Household, and Contextual Factors In fl uencing the Timing of the First Antenatal Care Attendance in Northwest Ethiopia: A Two-Level Binary Logistic Regression Analysis. Int J women. 2020; 12:463–71.
- Haftu A, Hagos H, Mehari MA GB. Pregnant women adherence level to antenatal care visit and its effect on perinatal outcome among mothers in Tigray Public Health institutions, 2017: cohort study. BMC Res Notes. 2018; 11(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-018-3987-0 PMID: 30526644
- 9. Aung TZ, Oo WM, Khaing W, Lwin N, Dar HT. Late initiation of antenatal care and its determinants: a hospital based cross-sectional study. Int J Community Med Public Heal. 2016; 3(4):900–5.

- Moore N, Blouin B, Razuri H, Casapia M, Gyorkos TW. Determinants of first trimester attendance at antenatal care clinics in the Amazon region of Peru: A case-control study. PLoS One. 2017; 12(2):1–14. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0171136 PMID: 28207749
- Gebrekidan Kahasse, Worku A. Factors associated with late ANC initiation among pregnant women in select public health centers of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: unmatched case–control study design. Pragmatic Obs Res. 2017; 8:223–30.
- 12. World Health Organization. WHO Recommendation on Antenatal Care for Positive Pregnancy Experience. Geneva: WHO; 2016.
- Say L, Chou D, Gemmill A, et al. Global causes of maternal death: a WHO systematic analysis. Lancet Glob Heal. 2014; 2(6):323–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(14)70227-X PMID: 25103301
- Kisuule I, Kaye DK, Najjuka F, Ssematimba SK, Arinda A, Nakitende G, et al. Timing and reasons for coming late for the first antenatal care visit by pregnant women at Mulago hospital, Kampala Uganda. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth [Internet]. 2013; 13(1):1. Available from: BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-13-121 PMID: 23706142
- 15. World Health Organization, Maternal deaths decline slowly with vast inequalities worldwide estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, The World Bank and the United Nations Population Division. Available: https://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/maternal-mortality-2000. 2019.
- Tessema ZT, Teshale AB, Tesema GA. Determinants of completing recommended antenatal care utilization in sub-Saharan from 2006 to 2018: evidence from 36 countries using Demographic and Health Surveys. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2021; 21:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-020-03485-8
 PMID: 33388035
- Yeji F, Shibanuma A, Oduro A, Debpuur C. Continuum of Care in a Maternal, Newborn and Child Health Program in Ghana: Low Completion Rate and Multiple Obstacle Factors. PLoS One. 2015; 10(12):1– 23.
- 18. World Health Organization. WHO Recommendation on Antenatal care for positive pregnancy experience. WHO Recomm Antenatal care Posit pregnancy Exp. 2016; Available: http://apps.who.int/iris/ bitstream/10665/250796/1/97892415499 12-eng.pdf. Accessed: 22 Febru.
- Alemu Y, Aragaw A. Early initiations of first antenatal care visit and associated factor among mothers who gave birth in the last six months preceding birth in Bahir Dar Zuria Woreda North West. Reprod Heal. 2018; 15:1–8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-018-0646-9 PMID: 30541562
- Manyeh AK, Amu A, Williams J, Gyapong M. Factors associated with the timing of antenatal clinic attendance among first- time mothers in rural southern Ghana. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2020; 20:1–7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-020-2738-0 PMID: 31959137
- Gross K, Alba S, Glass TR, Schellenberg JA, Obrist B. Timing of antenatal care for adolescent and adult pregnant women in south-eastern Tanzania. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2012; 12(1):16. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-12-16 PMID: 22436344</u>
- Akhtar F RS. Prevention of Congenital Syphilis Through Antenatal Screenings in Lusaka, Zambia: A Systematic Review. Cureus. Cureus. 2018; 10(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.2078</u> PMID: 29560291
- Gomez GB, Kamb ML, Newman LM, Mark J, Broutet N HS. Untreated maternal syphilis and adverse outcomes of pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Bull World Heal Organ. 2013; 91 (3):217–26. https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.12.107623 PMID: 23476094
- 24. Gidey G, Abraha D. Proportion of early antenatal care booking and associated factors in Mekelle city, Public health institutions, Northern Ethiopia, 2015. Asian J Pharm Clin Res. 2018; 11(4).
- Smith C, Teng F, Branch E, Chu S JK. Maternal and Perinatal Morbidity and Mortality Associated With Anemia in Pregnancy. Obs Gynecol. 2019; 134(6):1234–44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.</u> 00000000003557 PMID: 31764734
- Pena-Rosas JP, De-Regil LM, Garcia-Casal MN DT. Daily oral iron supplementation during pregnancy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2017; 7.
- Centre for Maternal and Child Enquiries (CMACE): Saving Mothers' Lives: reviewing maternal deaths to make motherhood safer: 2006–08. The Eighth Report on Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Deaths in the United Kingdom. BJOG. 2011; 118(Suppl. 1):1–203.
- World Health Organization (WHO). In: S.a.r. health, editor. More women worldwide receive early antenatal care, but great inequalities remain; 2018.
- 29. Moller A-B, et al. Early antenatal care visit: a systematic analysis of regional and global levels and trends of coverage from 1990 to 2013. Lancet Glob Heal. 2017; 5(10):e977–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(17)30325-X PMID: 28911763
- 30. Ethiopian Central Statistics Agency (ECSA), Ethiopian demographic health survey (EDHS). 2016.
- 31. Kenya National Bureau of Statistics, Kenyan Demographic, And health survey 2014.

- The National Population Commission Federal Republic of Nigeria, Nigeria demographic and health survey 2013. 2014.
- Institut National de la Statistique. Enquête Démographique et de Santé et à Indicateurs Multiples (EDS-MICS) 2011 [Internet]. Yaounde, Cameroon; 2011 [cited 2017 Jun 20]. Available from: http://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR260/FR260.pdf.
- Birhanu A, Id T, Tesema GA. Prevalence and associated factors of delayed first antenatal care booking among reproductive age women in Ethiopia; a multilevel analysis of EDHS 2016 data. PLoS One [Internet]. 2020; 15(7):1–13. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235538 PMID: 32628700
- Ejeta E, Dabsu R, Zewdie O, Roro EM. Factors determining late antenatal care booking and the content of care among pregnant mother attending antenatal care services in East Wollega administrative zone, West Ethiopia. Pan Afr Med J. 2017; 27. <u>https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2017.27.184.10926</u> PMID: 28904711
- **36.** Hanna G, Gulema H, Berhane Y. Timing of First Antenatal Care Visit and its Associated Factors among Pregnant Women Attending Public Health Facilities in Addis.
- Twg TWG. Proportion and Factors Associated with late Antenatal Care Booking among Pregnant Mothers in Gondar Town, North West Ethiopia. 2015; 19(June):93–9.
- Service AC, Service AC. Timely Initiation of First Antenatal Care Visit of Pregnant Women Attending Journal of Women 's Health Care Timely Initiation of First Antenatal Care Visit of Pregnant Women Attending. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2018; 19.
- Tesfaye G, Loxton D, Chojenta C, Semahegn A, Smith R. Delayed initiation of antenatal care and associated factors in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Reprod Health. 2017; 14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-017-0412-4</u> PMID: 29141675
- **40.** Weldearegawi GG, Teklehaimanot BF, Gebru HT. Determinants of late antenatal care follow up among pregnant women in Easter zone Tigray, Northern Ethiopia, 2018: unmatched case–control study. BMC Res Notes. 2019; 12:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-018-4038-6 PMID: 30602384
- 41. Gebresilassie B, Belete T, Tilahun W, Berhane B, Gebresilassie S. Timing of first antenatal care attendance and associated factors among pregnant women in public health institutions of Axum town, Tigray, Ethiopia, 2017: a mixed design study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2019; 19:1–11. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s12884-018-2145-y PMID: 30606156
- 42. Ewunetie AA, Munea AM, Meselu BT, Simeneh MM, Meteku BT. DELAY on first antenatal care visit and its associated factors among pregnant women in public health facilities of Debre Markos. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2018; 18:1–8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017-1633-9 PMID: 29291732
- Isawumi A. Late Antenatal Care Booking And Its Predictors Among Pregnant Women In South Western Nigeria. Online J Heal Allied Scs. 2018; 7(1):4.
- Aliyu AA, Dahiru T. Predictors of delayed Antenatal Care (ANC) visits in Nigeria: secondary analysis of 2013 Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS). Pan Afr Med J. 2017; 8688:1–13. https://doi. org/10.11604/pamj.2017.26.124.9861 PMID: 28533847
- 45. TURYASIIMA M., TUGUME R., OPENY A., AHAIRWOMUGISHA E., OPIO R., NTUNGUKA M., et al. Determinants of First Antenatal Care Visit by Pregnant Women at Community Based Education, Research and Service Sites in Northern Uganda. East Afr Med J. 2015; 91(9):317–22.
- Njiku F, Wella HL, Sariah A, Protas J. Prevalence and factors associated with late antenatal care visit among pregnant women in Lushoto, Tanzania. 2017; 19(3):1–6.
- 47. Exavery A, Kante A, Hingora A, Mbaruku G PS. How mistimed and unwanted pregnancies affect timing of antenatal care initiation in three districts in Tanzania. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2011; 13(35).
- **48.** Leshabari LK and S. "Factors Associated with First Antenatal Care Booking among Pregnant Women at a Reproductive Health Clinic in Tanzania: A Cross Sectional Study". EC Gynaecol 93. 2020;01–12.
- Mgata S, Maluka SO. Factors for late initiation of antenatal care in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: A qualitative study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2019; 0:1–9.
- Banda I, Michelo C HA. Factors Associated with late antenatal care attendance in selected rural and urban communities of the Copperbelt Province of Zambia. Med J Zambia. 2012; 39(3):29–36.
- 51. Mable B, Chewe M MM. Factors associated with late antenatal care booking among pregnant women in Ndola District, Zambia. African J midwiifery womens Heal. 2016; 10(4):169–78.
- Nyambe Sinyange, Lungowe Sitali, Jacobs Choolwe PMC. Factors associated with late antenatal care booking: population based observations from the 2007 Zambia demographic and health survey. Pan Afr Med J. 2016; 25:8688:1–11.
- Blackstone SR. Evaluating antenatal care in Liberia: evidence from the demographic and health survey,. Women Heal. 2019; 59(10):1141–54.

- 54. Luginaah IN, Kangmennaang J, Fallah M, Dahn B, Kateh F NT. Timing and utilization of antenatal care services in Liberia: Understanding the pre-Ebola epidemic context. Soc Sci Med. 2016; 160:75–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2016.05.019 PMID: 27214711
- 55. World Health Organization. WHO antenatal care randomized trial: manual for the implementation of the new model. 2002. Geneva, Switzerland; 2002.
- 56. Tolefac PN, Halle-ekane GE, Agbor VN, Sama CB, Ngwasiri C, Tebeu PM. Why do pregnant women present late for their first antenatal care consultation in Cameroon? Matern Heal neonatol perinatol. 2017; 3:1–6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40748-017-0067-8 PMID: 29255616
- Ochako R, Gichuhi W. Pregnancy wantedness, frequency and timing of antenatal care visit among women of childbearing age in Kenya. Reprod Health [Internet]. 2016; 13:1–8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-015-0112-x PMID: 26728505
- Austin PC MJ. Intermediate and advanced topics in multilevel logistic regression analysis. Stat Med. 2017; 36(20):3257–3277. https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.7336 PMID: 28543517
- Merlo J, Chaix B, Yang M, Lynch J RL. A brief conceptual tutorial of multilevel analysis in social epidemiology: linking the statistical concept of clustering to the idea of contextual phenomenon. J Epidemiol Community Heal. 2005; 59(6)::443–9.
- 60. Goldstein H, Browne W RJ. Partitioning variation in multilevel models. Underst Stat. 2002; 1(4):223-31.
- Ekholuenetale M, Nzoputam CI, Barrow A, Onikan A. Women 's enlightenment and early antenatal care initiation are determining factors for the use of eight or more antenatal visits in Benin: further analysis of the Demographic and Health Survey. J Egypt Public Heal Assoc. 2020; 13. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s42506-020-00041-2 PMID: 32813174
- Jiwani SS, Carvajal L, Chou D, Requejo J, Yaya S, Vaz LME. Timing and number of antenatal care contacts in low- and middle-income countries: Analysis in the Countdown to 2030 priority countries. J Glob Heal. 2020; 10(1). https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.10.010502 PMID: 32257157
- Zhao Q., Kulane A., Gao Y, et al. Knowledge and attitude on maternal health care among rural-to-urban migrant women in Shanghai, China. BMC Womens Health. 2009; 9(5). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6874-9-5 PMID</u>: 19298681
- 64. Gong E, Dula J, Alberto C, Albuquerque A De, Steenland M, Fernandes Q, et al. Client experiences with antenatal care waiting times in southern Mozambique. BMC Health Serv Res. 2019; 6:1–9. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12913-019-4369-6 PMID: 31370854</u>
- 65. Tolossa T, Turi E, Fetensa G, Fekadu G, Kebede F. Association between pregnancy intention and late initiation of antenatal care among pregnant women in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Syst Rev. 2020; 9:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-019-1259-2 PMID: 31907078
- Branum AM AK. Trends in Timing of Pregnancy Awareness Among US Women. Matern Child Heal J. 21(4):715–26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-016-2155-1 PMID: 27449777
- Nwagwu WE AM. Women 's health information needs and information sources: a study of a rural oil palm business community in South-Western Nigeria. Ann Libr Inf Stud. 2011; 58:270–81.
- WP M. Access to and Usage of Information among Rural Communities: a Case Study of Kilosa District Morogoro Region in Tanzania. Can J Libr Inf Pr Res. 2013; 7(1–9).
- Zamawe C.O.F., Banda M. & Dube AN. The impact of a community driven mass media campaign on the utilisation of maternal health care services in rural Malawi. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2016; 16:21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-016-0816-0 PMID: 26819242
- Berhan Y BA. Commentary: reasons for persistently high maternal and perinatal mortalities in Ethiopia: part iii–perspective of the "three delays" model. Ethiop J Heal Sci. 2014; 24:137–48. PMID: 25489188